

The peak algebra of the symmetric group revisited

Manfred Schocker*

LaCIM
Université du Québec à Montréal
CP 8888, succ. Centre-Ville
Montréal (Québec), H3C 3P8
Canada
E-mail: mschock@math.uqam.ca

February 1, 2008

MSC 2000: 16W30*, 20C30, 17B01, 16N20, 05E10

*supported by the Research Chairs of Canada.

Abstract

The linear span $\mathcal{P}_n$ of the sums of all permutations in the symmetric group S_n with a given set of peaks is a sub-algebra of the symmetric group algebra, due to Nyman. This peak algebra is a left ideal of the descent algebra $\mathcal{D}_n$; and the direct sum $\mathcal{P}$ of all $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a Hopf sub-algebra of the direct sum $\mathcal{D}$ of all $\mathcal{D}_n$, dual to the Stembridge algebra of peak functions. In our self-contained approach, peak counterparts of several results on the descent algebra are established, including a simple combinatorial characterization of the algebra $\mathcal{P}_n$; an algebraic characterization of $\mathcal{P}_n$ based on the action on the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis of the free associative algebra; the display of peak variants of the classical Lie idempotents; an Eulerian-type sub-algebra of $\mathcal{P}_n$; a description of the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and its nil-potency index, of the principal indecomposable and irreducible $\mathcal{P}_n$ -modules, and of the Cartan matrix of $\mathcal{P}_n$. Furthermore, it is shown that the primitive Lie algebra of $\mathcal{P}$ is free, and that $\mathcal{P}$ is its enveloping algebra.

1 Introduction

For any positive integer n and any permutation π in the symmetric group S_n ,

$$\text{Des}(\pi) := \{ i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, i\pi > (i+1)\pi \}$$

is the *descent set* of π . In a remarkable paper of 1976, Solomon discovered (in the wider context of finite Coxeter groups) that the linear span $\mathcal{D}_n$ of the elements

$$\Delta^D := \sum_{\text{Des}(\pi)=D} \pi, \quad D \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$$

is a sub-algebra of the group ring KS_n ([36]) of dimension 2^{n-1} . The ground ring K will throughout be assumed to be a field of characteristic 0. Several independent proofs of Solomon's result have been given, adding up to a better understanding of the *descent phenomenon* (see, for instance, [9, 15, 17, 39]).

Malvenuto and Reutenauer introduced the structure of a graded Hopf algebra on the direct sum $KS = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} KS_n$, based on the convolution, or outer product ([25]). The Solomon descent algebra

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_n$$

is a Hopf sub-algebra of KS , dual to the algebra $\mathbf{Qsym}$ of quasi-symmetric functions ([17, 25]) and isomorphic to the algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions ([16]). The algebra $\mathcal{D}$ with its triple algebraic structure has been subject to rapidly increasing interest for the past fifteen years. As a consequence, a number of surprising results on $\mathcal{D}$ have been obtained, connecting the descent algebra to many other fields of algebraic combinatorics such as the theory of the free Lie algebra, the representation theory of the symmetric group, and the theory of 0-Hecke algebras and related structures. Some of the major contributions are [2, 7, 15, 18, 19, 20, 16, 30]. Another interesting branch of the investigations dealt with extensions of the descent algebra ([23, 27, 34]).

* * *

For any permutation $\pi \in S_n$,

$$\text{Peak}(\pi) := \{i \mid 2 \leq i \leq n-1, (i-1)\pi < i\pi > (i+1)\pi\}$$

is the *peak set* of π . Our starting point is the following refinement of [26] (see also [1]).

Main Theorem 1. *The linear span $\mathcal{P}_n$ of the elements*

$$\Pi^P := \sum_{\text{Peak}(\pi)=P} \pi, \quad P \subseteq \{2, \dots, n-1\}$$

is a left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_n$ of dimension f_n , for all $n \geq 0$, where f_n denotes the n -th Fibonacci number, defined by $f_0 = f_1 = f_2 = 1$ and $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ for all $n > 2$.

(To save trouble, let it be said that $\mathcal{P}_n$ is an algebra *without* identity.)

In addressing the properties of the peak algebra $\mathcal{P}_n$, numerous combinatorial and algebraic concepts related to the descent algebra $\mathcal{D}_n$ deserve to be transferred to the peak setting. To emphasize this, is the main goal of the present work. Almost every aspect of the theory of the Solomon descent algebra has a peak counterpart indeed. We should like to point out that a new and illuminating approach has been introduced in [1] only recently, relating $\mathcal{P}_n$ to the descent algebras of type B and D .

As one part of our investigations, three independent proofs of Main Theorem 1 are given, providing a better understanding of the *peak phenomenon*. The first one is based on the fact that the direct sum

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_n$$

is a Hopf sub-algebra of $\mathcal{D}$ (Section 3); the second one is purely combinatorial (Section 4); while the third one is immediate from an algebraic characterization of $\mathcal{P}_n$ arising from the action on the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis of the free associative algebra (Section 8).

The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{P}$ is the graded dual of the Stembridge algebra of peak functions — a sub-algebra of $\mathbf{Qsym}$, which arose naturally from the context of enriched P -partitions ([38]). Stembridge's peak algebra has already been studied intensively ([5, 6, 38]). Some of those results are recovered. The approach presented here, however, is self-contained and independent of the theory of quasi-symmetric functions; for the convenience of the reader more familiar with that setting, enough information is provided to transfer the results to $\mathbf{Qsym}$, by duality.

* * *

This paper is subdivided into several sections, each of which contains one main result. Their labeling thus coincides with the labeling of the sections. For a first impression, taking note just of these main results is sufficient. A more detailed overview follows below. We mention that the fruits of [7, 8, 15, 25] will be of particular importance.

In Section 2, some basic results on $\mathcal{D}$ and its duality to $\mathbf{Qsym}$ are recalled. This allows to confirm that $\mathcal{P}$ — as a co-algebra — is the graded dual of Stembridge’s peak algebra. Independent from that, the Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{P}$ is established in Section 3, which yields, in particular, a first proof of Main Theorem 1, and recovers results due to Stembridge [38] and N. Bergeron et al. [5], by duality. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}$ is a polynomial ring in the (non-commuting) variables Γ^n , n odd, with respect to the outer product, where Γ^n denotes the sum of all *alternating* permutations in S_n .

A simple combinatorial characterization of $\mathcal{P}_n$ follows in Section 4, which says that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is an element of $\mathcal{P}_n$ if and only if φ is invariant under right multiplication with the transposition $\tau \in S_n$ swapping 1 and 2. Clearly, this implies again that $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_n$. Furthermore, extensions of $\mathcal{P}_n$ may now be obtained easily as in the case of $\mathcal{D}_n$. The combinatorial approach extends to arbitrary finite Coxeter groups. As a by-product, a short proof of Solomon’s result in the general case is obtained.

As an outer sub-algebra of $\mathcal{D}$, the peak algebra $\mathcal{P}$ is also generated by a series of divided powers and therefore — as a general fact — a homomorphic image of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{D}$. This is shown in Section 5 and allows to complete the picture of duality to Stembridge’s peak algebra. In Section 6, the commutative counterpart of the peak algebra $\mathcal{P}_n$ is computed, which is the dual way of stating [38, Theorem 3.8] and serves as a helpful tool for what follows.

The investigations in $\mathcal{P}_n$ (as a sub-algebra of KS_n) start in the important Section 7, where Lie idempotents in $\mathcal{P}_n$ are constructed and peak counterparts of the classical Lie idempotents are displayed. As a consequence, it is shown that the primitive Lie algebra of $\mathcal{P}$ is free, and that $\mathcal{P}$ is its enveloping algebra. Some applications related to the Dynkin peak operator and the Klyachko peak Lie idempotent are presented. Furthermore, considering peak Lie idempotents allows to derive an algebraic characterization of $\mathcal{P}_n$ in Section 8, which rests upon the remarkable corresponding result on $\mathcal{D}_n$ given in [15]: an element $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is contained in $\mathcal{P}_n$ if and only if — via

Polya action — φ annihilates the product $P_1 \cdots P_k$ of the homogeneous Lie monomials $P_1, \dots, P_k$ in the free associative algebra over a set X whenever P_1 is homogeneous of *even* degree.

An Eulerian-type sub-algebra of $\mathcal{P}_n$, linearly generated by the sums of all permutations with a given *number* of peaks, is introduced and studied in Section 9. Once more, there is a perfect analogy to the descent case. A related result is due to Doyle and Rockmore ([11]).

In Section 10, basic information on the structure of $\mathcal{P}_n$ can be derived easily from the corresponding results on $\mathcal{D}_n$ obtained in [7, 8], since $\mathcal{P}_n$ turns out to be a *direct summand* of the regular $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module. This includes a description of the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and its nil-potency index, of the principal indecomposable and the irreducible $\mathcal{P}_n$ -modules, and of the Cartan invariants. Finally, there is a conjecture on the descending Loewy series of $\mathcal{P}_n$, which is partially proven.

Throughout, $\dim M$ denotes the K -dimension of a K -vector space M , and products $\pi\sigma$ of permutations $\pi, \sigma \in S_n$ are to be read from left to right: first π , then σ .

2 The Solomon descent algebra, quasi-symmetric functions and duality

In this section, some notations are fixed and enough of the basic results on the Solomon descent algebra is reviewed to start our investigations. A short recall of the duality between this algebra and the algebra of quasi-symmetric functions follows, allowing to deduce that the direct sum $\mathcal{P}$ of all peak algebras $\mathcal{P}_n$ is the dual of the algebra of peak functions introduced by Stembridge in [38].

Let $\mathbb{N}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{N}_0$) be the set of all positive (respectively, nonnegative) integers and put

$$\underline{n} := \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \leq n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{n}_0 := \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid k \leq n\}$$

for all integers n . A second basis of $\mathcal{D}_n$ is constituted by the elements

$$\Xi^D := \sum_{E \subseteq D} \Delta^E \quad (D \subseteq \underline{n-1}).$$

By inclusion/exclusion,

$$\Delta^D = \sum_{E \subseteq D} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} \Xi^E, \quad (1)$$

for all $D \subseteq \underline{n-1}$.

Sometimes it is more convenient to use compositions of n instead of subsets of $\underline{n-1}$ as indices. Let $\mathbb{N}^*$ be a free monoid over the alphabet $\mathbb{N}$. The concatenation product of $q, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is denoted by $q.r$ in order to avoid confusion with the ordinary product in $\mathbb{N}$. For any $q = q_1 \dots q_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\ell(q) := k$ is the *length* and $\text{sum } q := q_1 + \dots + q_k$ is the *sum* of q . If $\text{sum } q = n$, q is a *composition* of n ($q \models n$). The mapping

$$q \longmapsto D(q) := \{q_1, q_1 + q_2, \dots, q_1 + \dots + q_{k-1}\}$$

is a bijection from the set of all compositions of n onto the power set of $\underline{n-1}$, and $\ell(q) = |D(q)| + 1$ for all $q \models n$. Set

$$\Delta^q := \Delta^{D(q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi^q := \Xi^{D(q)}.$$

If $r = r_1 \dots r_l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\text{sum } r = \text{sum } q$ and $D(r) \subseteq D(q)$, then q is called a composition of r ($q \models r$). Equivalently, there exist $q^{(1)}, \dots, q^{(l)} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $q = q^{(1)} \dots q^{(l)}$ and $q^{(i)} \models r_i$ for all $i \in \underline{l}$. Now (1) reads as

$$\Delta^q = \sum_{q \models r} (-1)^{\ell(q)-\ell(r)} \Xi^r. \quad (2)$$

The direct sum

$$KS := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} KS_n$$

is equipped with an *inner product* $*$ inherited from the ordinary products in KS_n , $n \geq 0$, by orthogonal extension:

$$\alpha * \beta := \begin{cases} \alpha\beta & \text{if } n = m, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq m, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha \in KS_n$, $\beta \in KS_m$. Solomon's result mentioned in the introduction may be restated as follows.

2.1 Theorem. *The direct sum $\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_n$ is a sub-algebra of $(KS, *)$.*

Malvenuto and Reutenauer introduced the structure of a Hopf algebra on KS ([25]), based on the convolution or *outer product* $\star$ and a coproduct $\downarrow$. The identity element in $(KS, \star)$ is $\emptyset$, the empty permutation in S_0 . For our purposes, it is enough to recall the following result (ibid., Theorem 3.3):

2.2 Theorem. $\mathcal{D}$ is a Hopf sub-algebra of $(KS, \star, \downarrow)$. Furthermore,

$$\Xi^r \star \Xi^q = \Xi^{r \cdot q}, \quad (4)$$

for all $q, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and

$$\Xi^n \downarrow = \sum_{k=0}^n \Xi^k \otimes \Xi^{n-k} \quad (5)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $\Xi^0 := \emptyset$. In particular, $(\mathcal{D}, \star)$ is a polynomial ring in the (non-commuting) variables

$$\Xi^n = \sum_{\text{Des}(\pi)=\emptyset} \pi = \text{id}_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where id_n denotes the identity in S_n .

The Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$ is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions ([16]). In that setting a crucial connection between the inner and the outer product on $\mathcal{D}$ has been established ([16, Proposition 5.2]). To state it, note that the inner product $*$ on $\mathcal{D}$ canonically gives rise to a (component-wise) product on $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ (which is also denoted by $*$).

2.3 Lemma. For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$(\alpha \star \beta) * \gamma = ((\alpha \otimes \beta) * (\gamma \downarrow))^\uparrow,$$

where $\uparrow : \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the linearization $(\varphi \otimes \psi)^\uparrow = \varphi \star \psi$ of the outer product $\star$ on $\mathcal{D}$.

* * *

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be an infinite set of commuting variables and denote by

$$M_r := \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_l} x_{i_1}^{r_1} \cdots x_{i_l}^{r_l}$$

the *monomial quasi-symmetric function* indexed by r , for all $r = r_1 \dots r_l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The M_r 's constitute a linear basis of the algebra $\mathbf{Qsym}$ of quasi-symmetric functions introduced by Gessel ([17]). A second basis of $\mathbf{Qsym}$ consists of the *fundamental quasi-symmetric functions*, defined by

$$F_q := \sum_{r \models q} M_r \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

As before, subsets of $\underline{n-1}$ as indices work equally well (whenever n is clear from the context): For $D \subseteq \underline{n-1}$, choose $r \models n$ such that $D = D(r)$ and set

$$M_D := M_r \quad \text{and} \quad F_D := F_r.$$

The algebra $\mathbf{Qsym}$ is equipped with two coproducts, the *outer coproduct* γ and the *inner coproduct* γ' ; the former turns $\mathbf{Qsym}$ into a (graded) Hopf algebra. For details, the reader is referred to [25]. In the same paper, there is the following result which allows to transfer results on quasi-symmetric functions to the Solomon algebra and vice versa (ibid., Theorems 3.2 and 3.3).

2.4 Theorem. *The graded dual $\mathbf{Qsym}^*$ of $\mathbf{Qsym}$ with the product inherited from the outer coproduct γ and the coproduct inherited from the ordinary product of $\mathbf{Qsym}$, is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$.*

In this isomorphism, the basis $\{F_q^ \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ of $\mathbf{Qsym}^*$ dual to the basis $\{F_q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ of $\mathbf{Qsym}$ corresponds to the basis $\{\Delta^q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ of $\mathcal{D}$.*

Furthermore, the same mapping is an isomorphism of the algebra $\mathbf{Qsym}^$ with the product inherited from the inner coproduct γ' of $\mathbf{Qsym}$, onto $(\mathcal{D}, *)$.*

The latter part of the preceding theorem is due to Gessel. Define a pairing between $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathbf{Qsym}$ by

$$\langle \Delta^q, F_s \rangle := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = s, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq s, \end{cases}$$

then the above result leads to the following reciprocity laws:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi * \psi, f \rangle &= \langle \varphi \otimes \psi, \gamma'(f) \rangle \\ \langle \varphi \star \psi, f \rangle &= \langle \varphi \otimes \psi, \gamma(f) \rangle \\ \langle \varphi, fg \rangle &= \langle \varphi \downarrow, f \otimes g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$, $f, g \in \mathbf{Qsym}$. Furthermore, Theorem 2.4 implies that $\downarrow$ is also a homomorphism for the inner products on $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$.

* * *

A brief argument follows which shows that $\mathcal{P}$ is the dual of the sub-algebra $\mathfrak{P}$ of $\mathbf{Qsym}$ introduced and studied by Stembridge in [38].

Set $D + z := \{d + z \mid d \in D\}$ for all integers z and $D \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$, then

$$\text{Peak}(\pi) = \text{Des}(\pi) \cap \left((\underline{n-1} \setminus \text{Des}(\pi)) + 1 \right), \quad (6)$$

for each $\pi \in S_n$. In particular, $P \subseteq \underline{n}$ is a peak set of a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ if and only if $P \subseteq \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ and $P \cap (P-1) = \emptyset$. In this case, P is called a *peak set in $\underline{n}$* .

Each homogeneous component $\mathfrak{P}_n$ of $\mathfrak{P}$ has a linear basis constituted by the elements

$$K_P := \sum_{\substack{D \subseteq \underline{n-1} \\ P \subseteq D \Delta (D+1)}} F_D,$$

indexed by peak sets P in $\underline{n}$, where $D \Delta (D+1) = D \setminus (D+1) \cup (D+1) \setminus D$ denotes the symmetric difference ([38, Theorem 3.1(a) and Proposition 3.5]). Furthermore, if $P(D) := D \cap ((\underline{n-1} \setminus D) + 1)$ for all $D \subseteq \underline{n-1}$, then the linear mapping $\theta : \mathbf{Qsym} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$, defined by

$$F_D \longmapsto K_{P(D)},$$

is an epimorphism of algebras ([38, Theorem 3.1(c) and its proof]). Now, defining

$$\mathcal{P}^\perp := \{f \in \mathbf{Qsym} \mid \langle \varphi, f \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{P}\},$$

we are ready to state and prove the following duality, however, for the time being, with the restriction to the coproduct in $\mathcal{D}$ and the product in $\mathbf{Qsym}$.

Main Theorem 2. $\mathcal{P}^\perp = \ker \theta$.

In particular, $\mathcal{P}$ is a sub-coalgebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \downarrow)$, dual to the Stembridge peak algebra $\mathfrak{P}$, and

$$[\Pi^P, K_Q] := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P = Q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (P, Q \text{ peak sets in } \underline{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

defines a pairing between $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ such that

$$[\varphi, fg] = [\varphi \downarrow, f \otimes g]$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$, $f, g \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Proof. For any element $f = \sum_D a_D F_D \in \mathbf{Qsym}_n$, $f \perp \mathcal{P}$ if and only if, for all peak sets P in $\underline{n}$,

$$0 = \langle \Pi^P, f \rangle = \sum_D a_D \sum_{P(E)=P} \langle \Delta^E, F_D \rangle = \sum_{P(D)=P} a_D.$$

But this is equivalent to

$$f\theta = \sum_D a_D F_D \theta = \sum_P \left(\sum_{P(D)=P} a_D \right) K_P = 0,$$

that is, $f \in \ker \theta$. This proves the first claim. As a consequence, $\mathcal{P}^\perp$ is an ideal of $\mathbf{Qsym}$, hence $\mathcal{P}$ a sub-coalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$, by Theorem 2.4. Furthermore, as a general fact, $\mathcal{P}$ is the graded dual of $\mathbf{Qsym}/\mathcal{P}^\perp$, with pairing

$$[\varphi, \bar{f}] := \langle \varphi, f \rangle$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$, $f \in \mathbf{Qsym}$, where $\bar{f} := f + \mathcal{P}^\perp$. The observation that the mapping $\bar{\theta} : \bar{F}_D \mapsto K_{P(D)}$ is an isomorphism of algebras from $\mathbf{Qsym}/\ker \theta = \mathbf{Qsym}/\mathcal{P}^\perp$ onto $\mathfrak{P}$, completes the proof. $\square$

The situation dual to the one in the preceding theorem — displaying $\mathcal{P}$ as a factor algebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \star)$ and dual to $\mathfrak{P}$ as a sub-coalgebra of $(\mathbf{Qsym}, \gamma)$ — is analyzed in Section 5. However, the duality of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ is not needed in what follows. Main Theorem 2 rather serves as a link to the theory of quasi-symmetric functions for the reader more familiar with that setting.

3 The peak algebra $\mathcal{P}$

The investigations in the peak algebra $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_n$ now begin with establishing a triple algebraic structure on $\mathcal{P}$ as in the case of the descent algebra. $\mathcal{P}$ is a sub-bialgebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$ and — as a consequence of this — a left ideal of $(\mathcal{D}, \star)$.

The number of peak sets in $\underline{n}$ (and thus the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_n$) is readily seen to be the Fibonacci number f_n , defined by $f_1 = f_2 = 1$ and

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$$

for all $n \geq 3$. This number equals the number of *odd compositions* q of n ($q \models_{\text{odd}} n$), where $q = q_1 \dots q_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is called *odd* if q_i is odd, for all $i \in \underline{k}$.

To obtain a correspondence between peak sets in $\underline{n}$ and odd compositions q of n , choose $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $q_i = 2m_i + 1$, for all $i \in \underline{k}$, and set

$$\tilde{q} := 2^{m_1}.1.2^{m_2}.1. \dots .2^{m_k}.1 \models q,$$

where d^m denotes the m -th power of d in $\mathbb{N}^*$, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Observing that

$$D(q) = D(\tilde{q}) \cap (D(\tilde{q}) + 1) \quad (7)$$

we claim the following.

3.1 Proposition. *The mapping*

$$q \longmapsto P(q) := (\underline{n-1} \setminus D(\tilde{q})) + 1$$

is a one-one correspondence between the odd compositions of n and the peak sets in $\underline{n}$, and

$$D(q) = \underline{n-1} \setminus ((P(q) - 1) \cup P(q)), \quad (8)$$

for all $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$.

Proof. Equation (8) is immediate from equation (7).

Furthermore, $1 \notin P(q)$ is obvious, while $n-1 \in D(\tilde{q})$ implies that $n \notin P(q)$, which shows $P(q) \subseteq \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. From $\tilde{q}_j \leq 2$ for all j , it follows that $P(q) \cap (P(q) - 1) = \emptyset$, hence $P(q)$ is a peak set in $\underline{n}$.

If $q, r \models_{\text{odd}} n$, then $P(q) = P(r)$ implies $D(q) = D(r)$, by (8), thus $q = r$.

The proof is complete, since both sets in question have cardinality f_n . $\square$

* * *

To analyze outer products of the elements of $\mathcal{P}$ (based on formula (4)), consider the basis of $\mathcal{P}_n$ constituted by the elements

$$\Gamma^P := \sum_Q \Pi^Q \quad (P \text{ a peak set in } \underline{n}), \quad (9)$$

where the sum is taken over all peak sets Q in $\underline{n}$ containing P . By inclusion/exclusion,

$$\Pi^P = \sum_Q (-1)^{|Q|-|P|} \Gamma^Q \quad (10)$$

with the same range of the sum. Proposition 3.1 allows to take odd compositions instead of peak sets as indices:

$$\Gamma^q := \Gamma^{P(q)},$$

for all $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$.

3.2 Proposition. *The elements Γ^r , $r \models_{\text{odd}} n$, constitute a linear basis of $\mathcal{P}_n$. Furthermore,*

$$\Gamma^q = (-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2} \sum_{\tilde{q} \models s \models q} (-1)^{\ell(s)-\ell(q)} \Xi^s$$

for all $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$.

Proof. The basis property follows from Proposition 3.1.

Let $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$ and $P := P(q)$, then (6) implies that $P \subseteq \text{Peak}(\pi)$ if and only if $P \subseteq \text{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)$, for all $\pi \in S_n$. Now combine (1) and (8) with standard set theoretic arguments to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^P &= \sum_{\substack{Q \text{ peak set in } \underline{n} \\ P \subseteq Q}} \Pi^Q \\ &= \sum_{P \subseteq D \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)} \Delta^D \\ &= \sum_{P \subseteq D \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)} \sum_{E \subseteq D} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} \Xi^E \\ &= \sum_{E \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)} \sum_{E \cup P \subseteq D \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} \Xi^E \\ &= \sum_{E \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)} (-1)^{|E \cup P|-|E|} \Xi^E \sum_{\tilde{D} \subseteq (\underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)) \setminus (E \cup P)} (-1)^{|\tilde{D}|} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{n-1} \setminus ((P-1) \cup P) \subseteq E \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (P-1)} (-1)^{|E \cup P|-|E|} \Xi^E \\ &= \sum_{D(q) \subseteq E \subseteq D(\tilde{q})} (-1)^{|E \cup P|-|E|} \Xi^E. \end{aligned}$$

But, for each $E \subseteq \underline{n-1}$ such that $D(q) \subseteq E \subseteq D(\tilde{q})$,

$$E \setminus P \subseteq D(\tilde{q}) \setminus P = D(\tilde{q}) \cap (D(\tilde{q}) + 1) \subseteq D(q),$$

hence $|E \cup P| = |D(q) \cup P| = |D(q)| + |P|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^P &= (-1)^{|P|} \sum_{D(q) \subseteq E \subseteq D(\tilde{q})} (-1)^{|E| - |D(q)|} \Xi^E \\ &= (-1)^{(n - \ell(q))/2} \sum_{\tilde{q} \models s \models q} (-1)^{\ell(s) - \ell(q)} \Xi^s \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. $\square$

A direct consequence of Proposition 3.2 is

3.3 Proposition. $(\mathcal{P}, \star)$ is a sub-algebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \star)$. Furthermore,

$$\Gamma^r \star \Gamma^q = \Gamma^{r.q}$$

for all $q, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ odd.

Proof. For all $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$, $r \models_{\text{odd}} m$, by Proposition 3.2 and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^r \star \Gamma^q &= (-1)^{(m+n-\ell(r)-\ell(q))/2} \sum_{\tilde{r} \models s \models r} \sum_{\tilde{q} \models t \models q} (-1)^{\ell(s) - \ell(r) + \ell(t) - \ell(q)} \Xi^s \star \Xi^t \\ &= (-1)^{(m+n-\ell(r.q))/2} \sum_{\tilde{r}.\tilde{q} \models u \models r.q} (-1)^{\ell(u) - \ell(r.q)} \Xi^u \\ &= \Gamma^{r.q}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\tilde{r}.\tilde{q} = \widetilde{r.q}$. $\square$

The preceding result is the dual way of stating [5, Theorem 2.2] (see also Corollary 5.6). It seems to be worth mentioning that $(\mathcal{P}, \star)$ is thus a polynomial ring in the (non-commuting) variables $\Gamma^n = \Pi^{\{2,4,\dots,n-1\}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ odd, each of which is the sum of all permutations $\pi \in S_n$ with the maximal peak set $\{2, 4, \dots, n-1\}$. These permutations are sometimes called *alternating*, since

$$1\pi < 2\pi > 3\pi < 4\pi > \dots < (n-1)\pi > n\pi.$$

* * *

To analyze co-products of elements of $\mathcal{P}_n$, it is more convenient to consider the minimal peak set $\emptyset$: Define

$$\tilde{\Xi}^n = 2\Pi^\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}_n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is (up to the factor 2) the sum of the *valley permutations* π in S_n , defined by the property

$$1\pi > 2\pi > \cdots > k\pi < (k+1)\pi < \cdots < n\pi,$$

where $k := 1\pi^{-1}$. The factor 2 and the multiplication rule

$$\Delta^{1..k} \star \Delta^m = \Delta^{1..k..m} + \Delta^{1..(k-1)..(m+1)} \quad (11)$$

for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ([16, (52)]) allow to rewrite the definition to

$$\tilde{\Xi}^n = 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta^{1..k..(n-k)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta^{1..k} \star \Delta^{n-k}, \quad (12)$$

where $\Delta^0 := \emptyset$. For all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, set

$$\tilde{\Xi}^q := \tilde{\Xi}^{q_1} \star \cdots \star \tilde{\Xi}^{q_k},$$

then $\tilde{\Xi}^q \in \mathcal{P}$, by Proposition 3.3, and these elements may be expressed in terms of the Π -basis and the Γ -basis of $\mathcal{P}$, and the Ξ -basis of $\mathcal{D}$, as follows.

For all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$, let $q^\dagger := q_k$ denote the final letter of q . More generally, if $q \models r = r_1 \dots r_l$, say $q = q^{(1)} \dots q^{(l)}$ such that $q^{(i)} \models r_i$ for all $i \in \underline{l}$, let

$$F_r(q) := \prod_{i=1}^l (q^{(i)})^\dagger$$

be the product of the r -finals of q .

3.4 Proposition. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \models n$ and put $D := D(r) \cup (D(r) + 1)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Xi}^r &= 2^{\ell(r)} \sum_{\substack{Q \text{ peak set in } \underline{n} \\ Q \subseteq D}} \Pi^Q \\ &= 2^{\ell(r)} \sum_{\substack{Q \text{ peak set in } \underline{n} \\ Q \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus D}} (-1)^{|Q|} \Gamma^Q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{\ell(r)} \sum_{\substack{q \models r \\ q \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2} \Gamma^q \\
&= 2^{\ell(r)} \sum_{\substack{q \models r \\ F_r(q) \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{n-\ell(q)} \Xi^q.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\tilde{\Xi}^n = 2 \sum_{q \models_{\text{odd}} n} (-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2} \Gamma^q = 2 \sum_{\substack{q \models n \\ q^\dagger \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{n-\ell(q)} \Xi^q.$$

Proof. To begin with, consider the case $r = n$, then (10) implies $\tilde{\Xi}^n = 2\Pi^\emptyset = 2 \sum_{Q \subseteq [n-1]} (-1)^{|Q|} \Gamma^Q$, hence $\tilde{\Xi}^n = 2 \sum_{q \models_{\text{odd}} n} (-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2} \Gamma^q$, by Proposition 3.1. Furthermore, by (12) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Xi}^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta^{1 \cdot k} \star \Xi^{n-k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r \models k} (-1)^{k-\ell(r)} \Xi^{r \cdot (n-k)} + \sum_{s \models n} (-1)^{n-\ell(s)} \Xi^s \\
&= \sum_{s \models n} ((-1)^{n-s^\dagger - (\ell(s)-1)} + (-1)^{n-\ell(s)}) \Xi^s \\
&= \sum_{s \models n} (-1)^{n-\ell(s)} ((-1)^{s^\dagger+1} + 1) \Xi^s \\
&= 2 \sum_{\substack{s \models n \\ s^\dagger \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{n-\ell(s)} \Xi^s
\end{aligned}$$

as asserted. Now let $r = r_1 \dots r_l$, then the latter identity implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Xi}^r &= \tilde{\Xi}^{r_1} \star \dots \star \tilde{\Xi}^{r_l} \\
&= \left(2 \sum_{\substack{s \models r_1 \\ s^\dagger \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{r_1-\ell(s)} \Xi^s \right) \star \dots \star \left(2 \sum_{\substack{s \models r_l \\ s^\dagger \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{r_l-\ell(s)} \Xi^s \right) \\
&= 2^l \sum_{\substack{s \models r \\ F_r(s) \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{n-\ell(s)} \Xi^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Xi}^r &= \left(2 \sum_{q \models_{\text{odd}} r_1} (-1)^{(r_1 - \ell(q))/2} \Gamma^q\right) \star \dots \star \left(2 \sum_{q \models_{\text{odd}} r_l} (-1)^{(r_l - \ell(q))/2} \Gamma^q\right) \\ &= 2^l \sum_{\substack{q \models r \\ q \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{(n - \ell(q))/2} \Gamma^q.\end{aligned}$$

But, for each $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$, the condition $q \models r$ is equivalent to

$$D(r) \subseteq D(q) = \underline{n-1} \setminus ((P(q) - 1) \cup P(q)),$$

by (8), and this is another way of stating $P(q) \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus D$. Finally,

$$\sum_{Q \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus D} (-1)^{|Q|} \Gamma^Q = \sum_{Q \subseteq D} \Pi^Q$$

easily follows from (9). $\square$

We are now in a position to state and prove the peak counterpart of Theorem 2.2.

Main Theorem 3. *$\mathcal{P}$ is a Hopf sub-algebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$. Furthermore, the elements $\tilde{\Xi}^q$, $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ odd, constitute a linear basis of $\mathcal{P}$, and*

$$\tilde{\Xi}^r \star \tilde{\Xi}^q = \tilde{\Xi}^{r \cdot q},$$

for all $q, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, while

$$\tilde{\Xi}^n \downarrow = \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{\Xi}^k \otimes \tilde{\Xi}^{n-k},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\tilde{\Xi}^0 := \emptyset$. In particular, $(\mathcal{P}, \star)$ is a polynomial ring in the (non-commuting) variables

$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Xi}^n = \sum_{\text{Peak}(\pi) = \emptyset} \pi \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ odd}).$$

Proof. Expressing the elements $\tilde{\Xi}^q$, $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$, in the Ξ -basis of $\mathcal{D}_n$ yields linear independency, by Proposition 3.4 and triangularity. These elements thus constitute a linear basis of $\mathcal{P}_n$ as asserted. The multiplication rule is

$\tilde{\Xi}^r \star \tilde{\Xi}^q = \tilde{\Xi}^{r+q}$, by definition, while the co-multiplication rule follows from standard arguments based on (5), (12), and

$$\Delta^{1..n} \downarrow = \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta^{1..k} \otimes \Delta^{1..(n-k)}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [16, 3.8]). $\square$

A sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $(KS, \star)$ is *graded by intersection* if $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{A} \cap KS_n)$. Main Theorem 3 combined with the following consequence of Lemma 2.3 yields a *first proof of Main Theorem 1*.

3.5 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sub-bialgebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$ and assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is graded by intersection, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the inner product.*

Proof. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and proceed by induction on $\ell(q)$ to prove that $\Xi^q \star \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. For $\ell(q) = 1$, this is another way of stating that $\mathcal{A}$ is graded by intersection. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist $\alpha_i^{(1)}, \alpha_i^{(2)} \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i \in I$) such that $\alpha \downarrow = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i^{(1)} \otimes \alpha_i^{(2)}$, hence

$$\Xi^{q..n} \star \alpha = ((\Xi^q \otimes \Xi^n) \star (\alpha \downarrow)) \uparrow = \sum_{i \in I} (\Xi^q \star \alpha_i^{(1)}) \star \alpha_i^{(2)} \in \mathcal{A},$$

by Lemma 2.3 and induction. $\square$

4 A Combinatorial Approach

There is a simple combinatorial explanation of Main Theorems 1 and 3, which will be given in this section.

Blessenohl and Laue introduced a graph structure on S_n to describe the descent classes $\{\pi \in S_n \mid \text{Des}(\pi) = D\}$, $D \subseteq \underline{n-1}$, and to derive a combinatorial proof of Theorem 2.1 ([9, Section 4]). A slight extension of this graph structure yields a combinatorial characterization of the elements of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and, as a consequence, a two-line proof of Main Theorem 1. Furthermore, peak analogs of certain extensions of the algebra $\mathcal{D}_n$ may easily be obtained (see Remark 4.1).

This combinatorial concept extends to arbitrary finite Coxeter groups (instead of S_n) and allows a short proof of Solomon's result in the general case,

as is briefly explained at the end of this section. Arising from this, there is the notion of a peak-like sub-algebra of the group algebra of a finite Coxeter group.

Throughout, $\tau_{n,i}$ denotes the transposition swapping i and $i + 1$ in S_n , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \underline{n-1}$, and $\tau_{1,1}$ denotes the identity in S_1 . All what follows is based on the observation that

$$\text{Peak}(\pi) = \text{Peak}(\pi\tau_{n,1}) \quad (13)$$

for all $\pi \in S_n$, that is, swapping 1 and 2 in the image line of π does not change the peak set of π . Indeed, if $\{1\pi^{-1}, 2\pi^{-1}\} = \{k, l\}$ such that $k < l$, then either $l - k > 1$, hence even $\text{Des}(\pi) = \text{Des}(\pi\tau_{n,1})$, or $l = k + 1$. In the latter case, $\text{Des}(\pi)$ and $\text{Des}(\pi\tau_{n,1})$ differ exactly by the element k , which also implies (13), since $k - 1 \in \text{Des}(\pi) \cup \{0\}$ and $k + 1 \notin \text{Des}(\pi)$.

As a consequence of (13), $\Pi^P \tau_{n,1} = \Pi^P$ for all peak sets P in $\underline{n}$, which is one part of

Main Theorem 4. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ if and only if $\varphi\tau_{n,1} = \varphi$.*

This characterization implies, in particular, that $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_n$, that is, a second proof of Main Theorem 1. For, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then $\alpha\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$, by Theorem 2.1, and $(\alpha\varphi)\tau_{n,1} = \alpha(\varphi\tau_{n,1}) = \alpha\varphi$, hence $\alpha\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

4.1 Remark. *Main Theorem 4 may be restated as*

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{D}_n \cap \left(KS_n(\text{id}_n + \tau_{n,1}) \right) = \mathcal{D}_n \cap \left(\mathcal{D}_n(\text{id}_n + \tau_{n,1}) \right).$$

The direct sum $KS^{12} := KS_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} KS_n(1 + \tau_{n,1})$ is a sub-algebra of $(KS, \star)$ and a left co-ideal of $(KS, \downarrow)$, that is, $KS^{12}\downarrow \subseteq KS^{12} \otimes KS$. This is readily seen from the combinatorial description of the outer product $\star$ and the coproduct $\downarrow$ given in [25, pp. 977–978]. It follows that

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{D} \cap KS^{12}$$

is a convolution sub-algebra and a left co-ideal of $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, since $(\mathcal{D}, \downarrow)$ is co-commutative, $\mathcal{P}$ is a sub-bialgebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$, which essentially recovers Main Theorem 3.

Clearly, peak analogs of the extensions of $\mathcal{D}_n$ introduced in [23, 27, 34] may be obtained along the same lines. For instance, in the above argument, consider the sub-algebra $\mathcal{L}$ (respectively, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$) of $(KS, \star)$ generated by all Lie

elements (respectively, Lie idempotents) in KS_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, instead of $\mathcal{D}$ (see [27, 34], or Section 7, for the necessary definitions). $\mathcal{L}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ are inner sub-algebras of KS (containing $\mathcal{D}$), and co-commutative Hopf sub-algebras of $(KS, \star, \downarrow)$ ([27, 34]), hence so are $\mathcal{L}^{12} := \mathcal{L} \cap KS^{12}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{12} := \hat{\mathcal{L}} \cap KS^{12}$; and

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{D} \cap KS^{12} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{12} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{12}.$$

In particular, there is the chain $\mathcal{P}_n \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{12} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n^{12}$ of sub-algebras of the group algebra KS_n . A similar argument applies to the Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees introduced by Loday and Ronco ([23]).

We refrain from a more detailed analysis of the properties of these extensions of $\mathcal{P}_n$.

The proof of the remaining part of Main Theorem 4 requires a little more work and is based on a *local* description of the peak classes

$$\{\pi \in S_n \mid \text{Peak}(\pi) = P\} \quad (P \text{ a peak set in } \underline{n}).$$

Let $k, l \in \underline{n}$ and $\pi, \sigma \in S_n$, then k is called a *neighbor of l in π* if $|k\pi^{-1} - l\pi^{-1}| = 1$, that is, if k is a neighbor of l in the image line $(1\pi).(2\pi) \dots (n\pi)$ of π .

Following Blessenohl and Laue, σ is called a *descent neighbor* of π if there is an index $i \in \underline{n-1}$ such that $\sigma = \pi\tau_{n,i}$ and i is not a neighbor of $i+1$ in π . In this case, write $\sigma \smile_D \pi$. Denote by $\sim_D$ the smallest equivalence relation on S_n containing $\smile_D$ to recall [9, Proposition 4.2]:

4.2 Lemma. $\text{Des}(\pi) = \text{Des}(\sigma)$ if and only if $\pi \sim_D \sigma$, for all $\pi, \sigma \in S_n$.

The identity (13) suggests the following analog of Lemma 4.2 for peak sets. σ is called a *peak neighbor* of π if σ is a descent neighbor of π or $\sigma = \pi\tau_{n,1}$. In this case, write $\sigma \smile_P \pi$. Furthermore, denote by $\sim_P$ the smallest equivalence relation on S_n containing $\smile_P$.

For instance, $\underline{3}16\underline{2}45$ is a descent neighbor (hence also a peak neighbor) of 216345 , while $\underline{1}\underline{2}6345$ is a peak neighbor, but not a descent neighbor of 216345 .

4.3 Proposition. Let $\pi \in S_n$ and $i \in \underline{n-1}$ such that $i, i+1$ are neighbors in π and each neighbor $\neq i, i+1$ of i and $i+1$ in π is larger than $i+1$, then

$$\pi \sim_P \pi\tau_{n,i}.$$

Proof. By induction on i . If $i = 1$, then $\pi \smile_P \pi\tau_{n,1}$.

Let $i > 1$, then $i - 1$ is not a neighbor of i in π , since all neighbors of i in π are larger than i . Furthermore, i is not a neighbor of $i + 1$ in $\pi\tau_{n,i-1}$, since $i - 1$ is a neighbor of $i + 1$ in $\pi\tau_{n,i-1}$, while the second neighbor of $i + 1$ in $\pi\tau_{n,i-1}$, if it exists, is larger than $i + 1$. It follows that

$$\sigma := \pi\tau_{n,i-1}\tau_{n,i} \sim_D \pi.$$

Let $\tilde{\pi} := \pi\tau_{n,i}$, then the same argument as before shows

$$\tilde{\sigma} := \tilde{\pi}\tau_{n,i-1}\tau_{n,i} \sim_D \tilde{\pi}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\tilde{\sigma}\tau_{n,i-1} = \tilde{\pi}\tau_{n,i-1}\tau_{n,i}\tau_{n,i-1} = \tilde{\pi}\tau_{n,i}\tau_{n,i-1}\tau_{n,i} = \pi\tau_{n,i-1}\tau_{n,i} = \sigma,$$

$i - 1$ and i are neighbors in σ and each neighbor $\neq i, i - 1$ of i and $i - 1$ in σ is even larger than $i + 1$. Inductively, $\pi \sim_D \sigma \sim_P \tilde{\sigma} \sim_D \tilde{\pi}$. $\square$

Observe that $\sigma \smile_P \pi$ implies that $\text{Peak}(\pi) = \text{Peak}(\sigma)$, by Lemma 4.2 and (13). This is thus the immediate part of

4.4 Lemma. $\text{Peak}(\pi) = \text{Peak}(\sigma)$ if and only if $\pi \sim_P \sigma$, for all $\pi, \sigma \in S_n$.

Proof. Let $\pi \in S_n$ and $P := \text{Peak}(\pi)$. Note that $\text{Des}(\pi)$ is a peak set if and only if $\text{Des}(\pi) = P$. We first show:

(*) If $\text{Des}(\pi) \neq P$, then there exists a permutation $\tilde{\pi} \in S_n$ such that $\tilde{\pi} \sim_P \pi$ and $|\text{Des}(\tilde{\pi})| < |\text{Des}(\pi)|$.

Let $\text{Des}(\pi) \neq P$, then there exists $k \in \text{Des}(\pi)$ such that $k - 1 \in \text{Des}(\pi) \cup \{0\}$. Let k be maximal with this property and put $c := (k - 1)\pi$, $b := k\pi$ and $i := (k + 1)\pi$. In the case of $k = 1$, c should be read as ∞ . The choice of k implies $c > b > i$.

The neighbors of b in π are i and c (or just i if $k = 1$). If $b \neq i + 1$, then $b - 1$ is not a neighbor of b in π , since $c > b$, hence $\pi \smile_D \pi\tau_{n,b-1}$. The neighbors of $b - 1$ in $\pi\tau_{n,b-1}$ are c and i (or, again, just i if $k = 1$). Repeating this procedure, gives a permutation $\hat{\pi} \sim_D \pi$ such that $(k - 1)\hat{\pi} = c$, $k\hat{\pi} = i + 1$ and $(k + 1)\hat{\pi} = i$. Furthermore, $k + 1 \notin \text{Des}(\pi) = \text{Des}(\hat{\pi})$, which implies $i < (k + 2)\pi$ in the case of $k + 1 < n$. It follows that $\tilde{\pi} := \hat{\pi}\tau_{n,i} \sim_P \hat{\pi}$, by Proposition 4.3, and $\text{Des}(\tilde{\pi}) = \text{Des}(\pi) \setminus \{k\}$. This proves (*).

Now let $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\text{Peak}(\sigma) = \text{Peak}(\pi) = P$. Applying $(*)$ a number of times, yields a permutation $\tilde{\pi} \in S_n$ such that $\pi \sim_P \tilde{\pi}$ and $\text{Des}(\tilde{\pi}) = \text{Peak}(\tilde{\pi}) = P$ and a permutation $\tilde{\sigma} \in S_n$ such that $\sigma \sim_P \tilde{\sigma}$ and $\text{Des}(\tilde{\sigma}) = \text{Peak}(\tilde{\sigma}) = P$. By Lemma 4.2, $\pi \sim_P \tilde{\pi} \sim_D \tilde{\sigma} \sim_P \sigma$. $\square$

The preceding proposition leads to a

Proof of the remaining part of Main Theorem 4. Let $\varphi = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} k_\pi \pi \in \mathcal{D}_n$, then $k_\pi = k_\sigma$ whenever $\pi \smile_D \sigma$. If, additionally, $\varphi\tau_{n,1} = \varphi$, it follows that $k_\pi = k_\sigma$ whenever $\pi \smile_P \sigma$, hence $k_\pi = k_\sigma$ for all $\pi, \sigma \in S_n$ such that $\pi \sim_P \sigma$. Now Lemma 4.4 implies $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$. $\square$

* * *

The concept of descent and peak relations extends to arbitrary finite Coxeter groups as follows.

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and assume that W is finite (for details, see [36]). $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of w viewed as a word in the elements of S , for all $w \in W$. Let

$$\text{Des}(w) := \{ t \in S \mid \ell(wt) < \ell(w) \}$$

for all $w \in W$ and denote by $\mathcal{D}(W)$ the linear subspace of the group algebra KW generated by the elements

$$y_K := \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \text{Des}(w) = K}} w, \quad K \subseteq S.$$

$\tilde{w}$ is called a descent neighbor of w ($\tilde{w} \smile w$) if there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $\tilde{w} = sw$ and $sw \neq wt$ for all $t \in S$.¹ Denote by $\sim$ the smallest equivalence relation on W containing $\smile$, then

$$\text{Des}(w) = \text{Des}(v) \text{ if and only if } w \sim v, \quad (14)$$

as I learned from discussions with C. Hohlweg and C. Reutenauer. The proof is a straight-forward generalization of the proof of [9, Proposition 4.2] and is skipped.

As a consequence, there is a short proof of Solomon's result imitating the proof of [9, Theorem 4.1].

¹Note that, in the setting of [36] that is used here, for $W = S_n$, products of permutations are to be read from right to left.

Theorem (Solomon, 1976). $\mathcal{D}(W)$ is a sub-algebra of KW .

Proof. Let $I, J \subseteq S$, then $y_I y_J = \sum_{w \in W} \#F(I, J, w) w$, where

$$F(I, J, w) := \{ (u, v) \in W \times W \mid \text{Des}(u) = I, \text{Des}(v) = J, uv = w \},$$

hence the claim is equivalent to $\#F(I, J, w) = \#F(I, J, \tilde{w})$ for all $w, \tilde{w} \in W$ such that $\text{Des}(w) = \text{Des}(\tilde{w})$, that is $\tilde{w} \sim w$, by (14).

It suffices to consider the case $\tilde{w} \smile w$. Let $s \in S$ such that $\tilde{w} = sw$ and $sw \neq wt$ for all $t \in S$ and define

$$\alpha_s : W \times W \longrightarrow W \times W, (u, v) \longmapsto \begin{cases} (su, v) & \text{if } u^{-1}su \notin S, \\ (u, (u^{-1}su)v) & \text{if } u^{-1}su \in S, \end{cases}$$

then $\tilde{u}\tilde{v} = s(uv)$ for all $u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in W$ such that $(u, v)\alpha_s = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$. Furthermore, $\tilde{u}^{-1}\tilde{s}\tilde{u} = u^{-1}su$, hence $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\alpha_s = (u, v)$. It follows that α_s is bijective and $\alpha_s \alpha_s = \text{id}_{W \times W}$.

Finally, if $(u, v) \in F(I, J, w)$, then $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in F(I, J, \tilde{w})$, which may be derived as follows: If $u^{-1}su \notin S$, then $su \neq ut$ for all $t \in S$, which implies $\tilde{u} \smile u$ and $\text{Des}(\tilde{u}) = \text{Des}(u) = I$. If $\tilde{s} := u^{-1}su \in S$, then $\tilde{s}v \neq vt$ for all $t \in S$, since otherwise $sw = u\tilde{s}v = wt$ for a proper $t \in S$. It follows that $\tilde{v} \smile v$ and $\text{Des}(\tilde{v}) = \text{Des}(v) = J$.

Putting together all pieces, $\alpha_s|_{F(I, J, w)}$ is injective, mapping $F(I, J, w)$ into $F(I, J, \tilde{w})$. Since everything is symmetric in w and $\tilde{w}$, it follows that

$$\#F(I, J, w) = \#F(I, J, \tilde{w}),$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

For any fixed $s^* \in S$, s^* -peak relations may be defined by $sw \smile_{s^*} w$ if $sw \smile w$ or $s = s^*$.

The sums of the corresponding s^* -peak classes span a right ideal of the descent algebra $\mathcal{D}(W)$ — a *peak-like sub-algebra* of $\mathcal{D}(W)$.

5 Series of divided powers

In the setting of quasi-symmetric functions, Stembridge's peak algebra occurs as a sub-algebra of Qsym , which, by duality, corresponds to a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{D}$. It turns out that an epimorphism $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}, \star, \downarrow)$ of

bialgebras is realized by simultaneous inner right multiplication with $\tilde{\Xi}^n$ in each homogeneous component $\mathcal{D}_n$. As a consequence, the picture of duality of $\mathcal{P}$ and the algebra of peak functions can be completed (Corollary 5.6).

Let $\alpha_n \in KS_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, then $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called a *series of divided powers* if

$$\alpha_n \downarrow = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \otimes \alpha_{n-k},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Equivalently, the element $\alpha = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n$ of the direct product of all KS_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is *group-like*, that is

$$\alpha \downarrow = \alpha \otimes \alpha.$$

For instance, $(\Xi^n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(\tilde{\Xi}^n)_{n \geq 0}$ are series of divided powers in $\mathcal{D}$, by (5) and Main Theorem 3.

For any $\varphi \in KS$, there exist uniquely determined components $\varphi_n \in KS_n$ of φ such that $\varphi_n = 0$ for all but a finite number of n and $\varphi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_n$. Therefore α yields a linear mapping $KS \rightarrow KS$, by (inner) right multiplication:

$$\varphi * \alpha = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_n \alpha_n.$$

More precisely, there is the following interesting consequence of the reciprocity law 2.3:

5.1 Lemma. *Let $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a series of divided powers in $\mathcal{D}$, then right multiplication with $\alpha = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n$,*

$$\iota_\alpha : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}, \varphi \longmapsto \varphi * \alpha,$$

is a graded endomorphism of the bialgebra $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$, $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_m$, then $\varphi * \alpha = \varphi \alpha_n \in \mathcal{D}_n$, by Theorem 2.1, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \star \psi) * \alpha &= (\varphi \star \psi) * \alpha_{n+m} \\ &= \left((\varphi \otimes \psi) * \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \alpha_k \otimes \alpha_{n+m-k} \right)^\dagger \\ &= (\varphi \alpha_n) \star (\psi \alpha_m) \\ &= (\varphi * \alpha) \star (\psi * \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.3. Now choose $\varphi_1^{(k)} \in \mathcal{D}_k$ and $\varphi_2^{(k)} \in \mathcal{D}_{n-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\varphi \downarrow = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_1^{(k)} \otimes \varphi_2^{(k)}$. Since $\downarrow : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is a homomorphism for the inner product,

$$(\varphi \iota_\alpha) \downarrow = (\varphi * \alpha_n) \downarrow = \varphi \downarrow * \alpha_n \downarrow = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_1^{(k)} * \alpha_k \otimes \varphi_2^{(k)} * \alpha_{n-k} = \varphi \downarrow (\iota_\alpha \otimes \iota_\alpha),$$

which completes the proof, by linearity in φ and ψ . $\square$

The specialization $\alpha = \tilde{\Xi}$ gives the main result of this section:

Main Theorem 5. *Right multiplication with*

$$\tilde{\Xi} := \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{\Xi}^n$$

yields a graded epimorphism of bialgebras $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, \star, \downarrow)$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{D}_n \tilde{\Xi}^n$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_n$, for all $n \geq 0$. Furthermore,

$$\tilde{\Xi}^q = \Xi^q \tilde{\Xi}^n, \quad (15)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $q \models n$.

Proof. Right multiplication with $\tilde{\Xi}$ is an endomorphism of the bialgebra $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$, by Lemma 5.1. In particular,

$$\Xi^q * \tilde{\Xi} = (\Xi^{q_1} \star \dots \star \Xi^{q_k}) \tilde{\Xi}^n = (\Xi^{q_1} \tilde{\Xi}^{q_1}) \star \dots \star (\Xi^{q_k} \tilde{\Xi}^{q_k}) = \tilde{\Xi}^q,$$

for all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$. Recalling that $\{\Xi^q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is a linear basis of $\mathcal{D}$ and that $\{\tilde{\Xi}^q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ odd}\}$ is a linear basis of $\mathcal{P}$, completes the proof. $\square$

In what follows, $\tilde{\varphi} := \varphi \tilde{\Xi}$ denotes the image of φ under the epimorphism $\iota_{\tilde{\Xi}}$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, which is compatible with the definition of $\tilde{\Xi}^q$ given in Section 3, by (15).

Note that both $\mathcal{D}_n$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$ are $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left modules (by inner left multiplication), and — as a consequence of Main Theorem 5 —

$$\mathcal{D}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n, \varphi \longmapsto \varphi \tilde{\Xi}^n$$

is an epimorphism of $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left modules. A more detailed analysis of the $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module $\mathcal{P}_n$ follows in Section 10.

5.2 Remark. If $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are series of divided powers in $\mathcal{D}$, then so is $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$, defined by

$$\gamma_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k \star \beta_{n-k},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Indeed, $\alpha = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n$ and $\beta = \sum_{n \geq 0} \beta_n$ are group-like, hence also $\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 0} \gamma_n = \alpha \star \beta$.

The series $(\Delta^{1 \cdot n})_{n \geq 0}$ is another series of divided powers in $\mathcal{D}$, as was mentioned in the proof of Main Theorem 3 already. Putting $\Delta := \sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta^{1 \cdot n}$,

$$\tilde{\Xi} = \Delta \star \Xi.$$

It would be interesting to apply Proposition 5.1 to other monomials α in Δ and Ξ (instead of $\tilde{\Xi}$) and to analyze the corresponding homomorphic images $\mathcal{D}\iota_\alpha$ of $\mathcal{D}$, each of which is at the same time a sub-bialgebra of $(\mathcal{D}, \star, \downarrow)$ and a left ideal of $(\mathcal{D}, *)$.

Repeated right multiplication with $\tilde{\Xi}$ still gives $\mathcal{P}$, as is derived now. First two helpful formulae:

5.3 Proposition. $\Delta^{1 \cdot n} \tilde{\Xi}^n = \tilde{\Xi}^n$ and $\tilde{\Xi}^n \tilde{\Xi}^n = 2\tilde{\Xi}^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\Xi}^{k \cdot (n-k)}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in \underline{n-1}_0$, then $\Delta^{1 \cdot n} \Delta^{1 \cdot k \cdot (n-k)} = \Delta^{1 \cdot (n-k-1) \cdot (k+1)}$, since $\Delta^{1 \cdot n}$ is the order reversing involution in S_n . Equation (12) implies

$$\Delta^{1 \cdot n} \tilde{\Xi}^n = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta^{1 \cdot n} \Delta^{1 \cdot k \cdot (n-k)} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta^{1 \cdot (n-k-1) \cdot (k+1)} = \tilde{\Xi}^n$$

as asserted. In particular,

$$\tilde{\Xi}^n \tilde{\Xi}^n = \sum_{k=0}^n (\Delta^{1 \cdot k} \tilde{\Xi}^k) \star (\Delta^{n-k} \tilde{\Xi}^{n-k}) = 2\tilde{\Xi}^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\Xi}^{k \cdot (n-k)},$$

by (12) and Main Theorem 5. □

5.4 Corollary. $\mathcal{P}_n \tilde{\Xi}^n = \mathcal{P}_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In particular, right multiplication with $\tilde{\Xi}$ yields an automorphism of the bialgebra $(\mathcal{P}, \star, \downarrow)$.

Proof. Let $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$, then

$$\tilde{\Xi}^q \tilde{\Xi}^n = (\tilde{\Xi}^{q_1} \tilde{\Xi}^{q_1}) \star \dots \star (\tilde{\Xi}^{q_k} \tilde{\Xi}^{q_k}) \in 2^k \tilde{\Xi}^q + \langle \{ \tilde{\Xi}^r \mid r \models q, \ell(r) > \ell(q) \} \rangle_K,$$

by Main Theorem 5 and Proposition 5.3. The elements $\tilde{\Xi}^q \tilde{\Xi}^n$, $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$, are thus linearly independent, by Proposition 3.4, and both claims follow. $\square$

* * *

Aiming at the dual version of Main Theorem 2, a proper description of the kernel of $\iota_{\tilde{\Xi}}$ is needed. This description is based on the following simple, but lengthy computation, which will also be useful in Section 7. Recall that $D\Delta(D+1)$ denotes the symmetric difference of the sets D and $D+1$.

5.5 Proposition. *For all $D \subseteq \underline{n-1}$,*

$$\tilde{\Delta}^D = \Delta^D \tilde{\Xi}^n = \sum_P 2^{|P|+1} \Pi^P,$$

summed over all peak sets P in $\underline{n}$ such that $P \subseteq D\Delta(D+1)$.

Proof. By (1) and Proposition 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}^D &= \sum_{E \subseteq D} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} \Xi^E \tilde{\Xi}^n \\ &= \sum_{E \subseteq \underline{n-1}} \sum_{E \subseteq D} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} 2^{|E|+1} \sum_{P \subseteq E \cup (E+1)} \Pi^P \\ &= \sum_P \sum_{\substack{E \subseteq D \\ P \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} 2^{|E|+1} \Pi^P. \end{aligned}$$

Let P be a peak set in $\underline{n}$ and put

$$k_{D,P} := \sum_{\substack{E \subseteq D \\ P \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} 2^{|E|+1},$$

then $k_{D,P} \neq 0$ implies that $P \subseteq D \cup (D+1)$. If $P = \emptyset$, then

$$k_{D,P} = (-1)^{|D|} \sum_{E \subseteq D} (-1)^{|E|} 2^{|E|+1} = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{|D|} \binom{|D|}{j} (-1)^{|D|-j} 2^j = 2$$

as asserted.

Let $P \neq \emptyset$ and choose $i \in P$, then $i - 1 \in E$ or $i \in E$, for each $E \subseteq \underline{n-1}$ such that $P \subseteq E \cup (E + 1)$. Set $\tilde{P} := P \setminus \{i\}$ and $\tilde{D} := D \setminus \{i - 1, i\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{D,P} &= \sum_{\substack{E \subseteq D \\ P \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|D|-|E|} 2^{|E|+1} \\
&= (-1)^{|D|} \left[\sum_{\substack{i \notin E \subseteq D \\ P \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|E|} 2^{|E|+1} + \sum_{\substack{i-1 \notin E \subseteq D \\ P \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|E|} 2^{|E|+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{\{i-1, i\} \subseteq E \subseteq D \\ P \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|E|} 2^{|E|+1} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

But, if $i - 1, i \in D$, it follows that

$$k_{D,P} = (-1)^{|D|} \sum_{\substack{E \subseteq \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{P} \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|E|} 2^{|E|+1} [-2 - 2 + 4] = 0,$$

while, if $|D \cap \{i - 1, i\}| = 1$,

$$k_{D,P} = (-1)^{|D|} \sum_{\substack{E \subseteq \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{P} \subseteq E \cup (E+1)}} (-1)^{|E|} 2^{|E|+1} [-2] = 2k_{\tilde{D}, \tilde{P}}.$$

Now, inductively, $k_{D,P} \neq 0$ if and only if either $i \in D$ or $i - 1 \in D$, for all $i \in P$, and in this case $k_{D,P} = 2^{|P|} k_{D, \emptyset} = 2^{|P|+1}$. The claim follows by observing that the condition that either $i \in D$ or $i - 1 \in D$, for all $i \in P$, is equivalent to $P \subseteq D \Delta (D + 1)$. $\square$

Recall the definition of the pairing $[\cdot, \cdot]$ given in Main Theorem 2 to state:

5.6 Corollary. $\ker \iota_{\Xi} = \mathfrak{P}^\perp$.

In particular, $\mathfrak{P}$ is a sub-coalgebra of $(\mathbf{Qsym}, \gamma)$, dual to the algebra $(\mathcal{P}, \star)$, and

$$[\varphi \star \psi, f] = [\varphi \otimes \psi, \gamma(f)]$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}$, $f \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi = \sum_{E \subseteq \underline{n-1}} a_E \Delta^E \in \mathcal{D}_n$, then

$$0 = \langle \varphi, K_P \rangle = \sum_{E \subseteq \underline{n-1}} \sum_{P \subseteq D \Delta(D+1)} a_E \langle \Delta^E, F_D \rangle = \sum_{P \subseteq D \Delta(D+1)} a_D$$

for all peak sets P in $\underline{n}$ is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_E a_E \tilde{\Delta}^E = \sum_E a_E \sum_{P \subseteq D \Delta(D+1)} \Pi^P = \sum_P \left(\sum_{P \subseteq D \Delta(D+1)} a_D \right) \Pi^P = 0.$$

This proves the first claim. All what remains is now obtained by exchanging the roles of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ and replacing θ by ι_{Ξ} in the proof of Main Theorem 2. $\square$

6 Solomon's epimorphism

The descent algebra $\mathcal{D}_n$ is linked to the character theory of the symmetric group S_n by means of an epimorphism of algebras

$$\mathcal{D}_n \longrightarrow \text{Cl}_K(S_n)$$

introduced by Solomon ([36]). Here $\text{Cl}_K(S_n)$ denotes the ring of K -valued class functions of S_n . In this section the image of $\mathcal{P}_n$ under Solomon's epimorphism is determined. This result serves as a helpful tool for the investigations in inner products in $\mathcal{P}$ in the sections that follow.

Some notations are needed. Let C_q be the conjugacy class consisting of all permutations π whose cycle partition is a rearrangement of q , for all $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$. If a_i denotes the multiplicity of the letter i in q , for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$q? := \prod_{i \geq 1} a_i! i^{a_i}$$

is the order of the centralizer of any $\pi \in C_q$ in S_n . Let char_q be the characteristic function of C_q in $\text{Cl}_K(S_n)$, mapping each $\pi \in S_n$ to 1 if $\pi \in C_q$, and to 0 otherwise; and set $\text{ch}_q := q? \text{char}_q$. To save trouble, let it be mentioned that $C_q = C_r$, $q? = r?$, $\text{char}_q = \text{char}_r$ and $\text{ch}_q = \text{ch}_r$ whenever q is a rearrangement of r ($q \approx r$).

If $p = p_1 \dots p_l \models n$ and $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_l$, then p is called a *partition* of n , and we write $p \vdash n$. If, additionally, p is odd, write $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$. Set

$$\text{Part}(n) := \{p \mid p \vdash n\},$$

then the elements char_p , $p \in \text{Part}(n)$, constitute a linear basis of $\text{Cl}_K(S_n)$. The direct sum

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{Cl}_K(S_n)$$

is equipped with an *inner product* $\cdot$ inherited from the ordinary products of K -valued functions in $\text{Cl}_K(S_n)$ — sometimes referred to as the *Kronecker product* — by orthogonal extension:

$$\chi \cdot \psi := \begin{cases} \chi\psi & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi \in \text{Cl}_K(S_n)$, $\psi \in \text{Cl}_K(S_m)$.

The *outer product* $\bullet$ on $\mathcal{C}$ corresponds to the ordinary multiplication of symmetric functions, via Frobenius' characteristic mapping, and may be defined by

$$\text{ch}_r \bullet \text{ch}_q = \text{ch}_{r \cdot q}, \quad (16)$$

for all $q, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let ξ^n denote the trivial character of S_n . By Theorem 2.2, there is a unique homomorphism of algebras $c : (\mathcal{D}, \star) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \bullet)$ such that

$$c(\Xi^n) = \xi^n$$

for all n . Another crucial result due to Solomon ([36]) is the following.

6.1 Theorem. $c : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an epimorphism of algebras with respect to the inner products, and

$$\ker c|_{\mathcal{D}_n} = \left\langle \left\{ \Xi^q - \Xi^{\dot{q}} \mid q, \dot{q} \models n, q \approx \dot{q} \right\} \right\rangle_K$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Let

$$\mathcal{C}^{odd} := \left\langle \left\{ \text{char}_q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ odd} \right\} \right\rangle_K,$$

then the dimension of the n -th homogeneous component of $\mathcal{C}^{odd}$ is the number of odd partitions of n .

Main Theorem 6. $c|_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{odd}$ is an epimorphism of algebras for both the inner and the outer products, and

$$\ker c|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \left\langle \left\{ \tilde{\Xi}^q - \tilde{\Xi}^{\dot{q}} \mid q, \dot{q} \models_{odd} n, q \approx \dot{q} \right\} \right\rangle_K$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, $c(\tilde{\Xi}^n) = \sum_{p \models_{odd} n} 2^{\ell(p)} \text{char}_p$.

Proof. The image of $\Delta^{1..k}$ under c is the sign character ε_k of S_k , for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, hence (12) implies that, for odd n ,

$$c(\tilde{\Xi}^n) = \varepsilon_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\varepsilon_k \bullet \xi_{n-k}) + \xi^n = \sum_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} 2^{\ell(p)} \text{char}_p$$

(see, for instance, [24, III.8, Example 6(a)]). As a consequence, $c(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{C}^{\text{odd}}$, by Theorem 6.1 and Main Theorem 5. Furthermore, $c(\tilde{\Xi}^q - \tilde{\Xi}^{\dot{q}}) = 0$ whenever $q \approx \dot{q}$, since $(\mathcal{C}, \bullet)$ is commutative. Comparing dimensions, completes the proof. $\square$

Note that Main Theorem 6 is the dual way of stating [38, Theorem 3.8].

7 Peak Lie idempotents

Each of the classical Lie idempotents — the Dynkin operator, the canonical Lie idempotent, and the Klyachko idempotent — is contained in $\mathcal{D}$. The study of these Lie idempotents played a major role in the investigation of combinatorial as well as algebraic properties of the Solomon algebra (see, for instance, [3, 7, 15]). Apart from that, the theory of Lie idempotents is interesting for its own sake and allows numerous combinatorial applications ([4, 14, 22, 28, 33]).

In this section, the basics concerning Lie idempotents contained in $\mathcal{P}$ — *peak Lie idempotents* — are discussed. As a first consequence, more detailed information on the structure of $(\mathcal{P}, \star, \downarrow)$ and its primitive Lie algebra is obtained (Main Theorem 7). Furthermore, peak variants of the classical Lie idempotents are displayed (Proposition 7.3, Proposition 7.7, (22)). In the case of the peak Dynkin operator, two combinatorial applications are given exemplarily (Corollary 7.4, Corollary 7.5), while a peak variant of the algebra of noncommutative cyclic characters ([22]) arises from the Klyachko peak Lie idempotent (Corollary 7.9).

To begin with, recall the definition of a Lie idempotent. Let X be an infinite alphabet and denote by $A(X)$ the free associative algebra over X . Let $A_n(X)$ be the n -th homogeneous component of $A(X)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then S_n acts on $A_n(X)$ from the left, via Polya action:

$$\pi(x_1 \cdots x_n) := x_{1\pi} \cdots x_{n\pi}$$

for all $\pi \in S_n$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

The usual Lie product $a \circ b = ab - ba$ defines the structure of a Lie algebra on $A(X)$, and the Lie sub-algebra $L(X)$ generated by X is free over X by a classical result of Witt ([41]). Let $L_n(X) := L(X) \cap A_n(X)$ denote the n -th homogeneous component of $L(X)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

An element $\alpha \in KS_n$ is called an idempotent, if $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. Due to Dynkin ([12]), Specht ([37]) and Wever ([40]),

$$\omega_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \Delta^{1^k \cdot (n-k)}$$

is (up to the factor $\frac{1}{n}$) an idempotent in $\mathcal{D}_n$, sometimes called the *Dynkin operator*. Via Polyá action,

$$\omega_n (x_1 \cdots x_n) = (\cdots ((x_1 \circ x_2) \circ x_3) \circ \cdots) \circ x_n, \quad (17)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, hence $\frac{1}{n}\omega_n$ projects $A_n(X)$ onto $L_n(X)$. Accordingly, any idempotent $\alpha \in KS_n$ is called a *Lie idempotent* if $\alpha KS_n = \omega_n KS_n$.

Note that, if $\alpha, \beta \in KS_n$ and α is a Lie idempotent, then β is a Lie idempotent if and only if $\alpha\beta = \alpha$ and $\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Furthermore, for any Lie idempotent $\alpha \in KS_n$ and any $q \models n$,

$$\Xi^q \alpha = \frac{1}{n} \Xi^q \omega_n \alpha = 0 \text{ whenever } \ell(q) > 1 \quad (18)$$

([4, Theorem 1.2 and its proof]). Lie idempotents in the peak algebra are constructed easily:

7.1 Proposition. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ be a Lie idempotent, then*

$$\tilde{\Xi}^n \alpha = \begin{cases} 2\alpha & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if n is odd, then $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\tilde{\Xi}^n$ is a Lie idempotent in $\mathcal{P}_n$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.4 and (18),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Xi}^n \alpha &= 2 \sum_{\substack{q \models n \\ q^\dagger \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{n-\ell(q)} \Xi^q \alpha \\ &= \begin{cases} 2(-1)^{n-1} \Xi^n \alpha & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2\alpha & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha(\alpha\tilde{\Xi}^n) = \alpha\tilde{\Xi}^n = \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}\alpha = \alpha(\tilde{\Xi}^n\alpha) = 2\alpha^2 = 2\alpha$, if n is odd. $\square$

Any Lie idempotent $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ is called a *peak Lie idempotent*. Recalling that

$$c(\alpha) = \text{char}_n, \quad (19)$$

for each Lie idempotent $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ ([8, Proposition 1.8]) gives:

7.2 Corollary. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{P}_n$ contains a Lie idempotent if and only if n is odd.*

Furthermore, if n is odd and $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is a Lie idempotent, then the linear span of all peak Lie idempotents in KS_n is $\alpha\mathcal{P}_n$.

Proof. If n is odd, then $\mathcal{P}_n$ contains the Lie idempotent $\frac{1}{2n}\tilde{\omega}_n$, by Proposition 7.1. If, conversely, $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is a peak Lie idempotent, then $\text{char}_n = c(\alpha) \in c(\mathcal{P}_n)$, by (19), hence n is odd, by Main Theorem 6.

The linear span of all Lie idempotents in $\mathcal{D}_n$ is $\omega_n\mathcal{D}_n$ ([9, Proposition 4.5]). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and choose an arbitrary Lie idempotent $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$, then the defining identities $\omega_n\alpha = n\alpha$ and $\alpha\omega_n = \omega_n$ imply $\omega_n\mathcal{D}_n = \alpha\mathcal{D}_n$. It follows that the linear span of all peak Lie idempotents in KS_n is $\alpha\mathcal{D}_n \cap \mathcal{P}_n = \alpha\mathcal{P}_n$ as asserted. $\square$

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $\frac{1}{n}\gamma_n$ is a Lie idempotent, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then γ is called a *Lie series* in $\mathcal{D}$. Set $\gamma_q = \gamma_{q_1} \star \cdots \star \gamma_{q_k}$ for all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. If γ and δ are Lie series in $\mathcal{D}$ and $q, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then

$$\delta_r \gamma_q = r? \gamma_r \text{ whenever } r \approx q \quad (20)$$

([28, Lemma 3.1(ii)]). In particular, $\frac{1}{q?}\gamma_q$ is an idempotent, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

In the case $X = \mathbb{N}$, $A(X) = A(\mathbb{N})$ is the semi-group algebra of $\mathbb{N}^*$ over K , and the mapping $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ extends to an isomorphism of algebras

$$\gamma : A(\mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \star), \quad \sum_q k_q q \longmapsto \sum_q k_q \gamma_q. \quad (21)$$

([28, Theorem 5.1]). Denote by $\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ the set of all odd $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $A(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}})$ may be viewed as a sub-algebra of $A(\mathbb{N})$. Recall that, if $(B, \cdot, \delta)$ is a bialgebra

with identity 1, then $b \in B$ is *primitive* if $b\delta = b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b$. The set $\text{Prim}(B)$ of primitive elements in B forms a Lie sub-algebra of the Lie algebra associated to $(B, \cdot)$. Each element of $\omega_n KS_n$ is primitive in $(KS, \star, \downarrow)$ (see [27]).

Main Theorem 7. *Let $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Lie series in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ for all odd n , then*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{q!} \gamma_q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ odd} \right\}$$

is a linear basis of $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of idempotents, and γ yields an isomorphism of algebras

$$A(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, \star),$$

by restriction. Furthermore, the primitive Lie algebra of $(\mathcal{P}, \star, \downarrow)$ is

$$\text{Prim}(\mathcal{P}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \gamma_n \mathcal{P}_n.$$

This Lie algebra is free, freely generated by the elements γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$, and $\mathcal{P}$ is its enveloping algebra. The dimension of the n -th homogeneous component $\gamma_n \mathcal{P}_n$ of $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{P})$ is equal to the number of Lyndon words $q \in \mathbb{N}^$ such that $q \models_{\text{odd}} n$.*

(Concerning the definition and basic properties of Lyndon words, the reader is referred to [14, Section 3].)

Note that, for odd n , the last part of the preceding result gives the dimension of the linear span of all peak Lie idempotents in KS_n , by Corollary 7.2. As another consequence, $(\mathcal{P}, \star, \downarrow)$ is a concatenation Hopf algebra in the non-commuting variables γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ (see [25, p. 969]). This result, however, may also be obtained directly from Main Theorem 3 (ibid., part 3. of the proof of Theorem 2.1).

Proof. We point out that all the assertions hold true if $\mathcal{P}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{D}$ and the word *odd* is removed. This has now become folklore, although — as it seems — some parts are contained in the literature only implicitly. The properties of $\mathcal{P}$ stated above are immediate consequences of the corresponding properties of $\mathcal{D}$. For the reader's convenience, an explicit proof follows.

First, by (20) and (21), $\left\{ \frac{1}{q!} \gamma_q \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}$ is a linear basis of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of idempotents. Comparing dimensions, implies the first claim. In particular, γ yields an isomorphism of algebras $A(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ when restricted to $A(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}})$ as asserted.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is primitive, then $\Xi^q \varphi = 0$ for all $q \models n$ such that $\ell(q) > 1$, by Lemma 2.3, hence $\varphi = \frac{1}{n} \omega_n \varphi \in \omega_n \mathcal{D}_n$, by [7, Proposition 1.2]. Conversely, any element of $\omega_n \mathcal{D}_n \subseteq \omega_n KS_n$ is primitive, as was mentioned above, hence

$$\text{Prim}(\mathcal{D}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \omega_n \mathcal{D}_n.$$

In particular, the set of primitive elements in $\mathcal{P}_n$ is $\omega_n \mathcal{D}_n \cap \mathcal{P}_n = \omega_n \mathcal{P}_n = \gamma_n \mathcal{P}_n$.

As is readily seen from (17), the elements $\omega_k q$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ odd, $k = \ell(q)$) linearly span $L(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}})$. The image of $L(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}})$ under γ is thus

$$L(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}})\gamma = \left\langle \left\{ \gamma_{\omega_k q} \mid q \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ odd}, \quad k = \ell(q) \right\} \right\rangle_K.$$

But (20) and the multiplication rule [7, Theorem 1.5(b)] imply

$$\omega_n \gamma q = \frac{1}{q!} \omega_n \omega_q \gamma q = q_1 \frac{1}{q!} \omega_{\omega_k q} \gamma q = q_1 \gamma_{\omega_k q},$$

thus

$$\text{Prim}(\mathcal{P}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \omega_n \mathcal{P}_n = L(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}})\gamma.$$

All the remaining assertions now follow from the fact the $L(\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}})$ is free over $\mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$. $\square$

For the remainder of this section, the peak variants of the classical Lie idempotents are studied.

The peak Dynkin operator

Let n be odd, then $\tilde{\omega}_n = \omega_n \tilde{\Xi}^n$ is (up to the factor $\frac{1}{2n}$) a peak Lie idempotent, by Proposition 7.1. A short calculation yields:

7.3 Proposition. $\frac{1}{2n} \tilde{\omega}_n = \frac{1}{n} \left(\Pi^\emptyset + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} \Pi^{\{k\}} \right)$, for all odd n .

Proof. For all $k \in \underline{n-1}_0$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}^k = \sum_{P \subseteq \{1, k+1\}} 2^{|P|+1} \Pi^P = \begin{cases} 2\Pi^\emptyset & \text{if } k \in \{0, n-1\}, \\ 2\Pi^\emptyset + 4\Pi^{\{k+1\}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

by Proposition 5.5, hence for odd n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\omega}_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \tilde{\Delta}^{1 \cdot k \cdot (n-k)} \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \Pi^\emptyset + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \Pi^{\{k+1\}} \\
&= 2 \Pi^\emptyset + 4 \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} \Pi^{\{k\}}
\end{aligned}$$

as asserted. $\square$

Two combinatorial applications of the preceding result follow.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by P_0 the set of all permutations $\pi \in S_n$ without peak. Furthermore, let $P_{1,e}$ (respectively, $P_{1,o}$) be the set of all $\pi \in S_n$ with a single peak k , which is even (respectively, odd).

Any partition $p = p_1 \dots p_k$ is called a *block*, if $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_k$. Denote by μ the number theoretic Möbius function and define

$$\text{sign}(p) := \begin{cases} \mu(p_1) & \text{if } p \text{ is a block,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

7.4 Corollary. *Let n be odd and $p \vdash n$, then*

$$2|C_p \cap P_{1,e}| + \text{sign}(p) = 2|C_p \cap P_{1,o}| + |C_p \cap P_0|.$$

In particular, the number of permutations π of cycle type p in S_n without peak is even if and only if p is not a block or p_1 is divisible by a square.

Proof. If $\alpha = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} k_\pi \pi \in KS_n$ such that $\frac{1}{n}\alpha$ is a Lie idempotent, then

$$\sum_{\pi \in C_p} k_\pi = \text{sign}(p)$$

([14, Proposition 5.1]). Applied to $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\omega}_n$, this proves the claim. $\square$

To push things deeper into that direction, let T^n be the set of all standard tableaux with n entries and denote the set of all $t \in T^n$ with l odd columns by $T^{n,l}$, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For instance,

$$T^{3,1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad T^{3,3} = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\},$$

while $\mathbb{T}^{3,2} = \emptyset$. Let $k \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$, then k is called a *peak* of t if $k+1$ stands strictly above k in t , while $k-1$ does not. As in the case of permutations, let $\mathbb{T}_{1,e}^{n,l}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{T}_{1,o}^{n,l}$) be the set of all $t \in \mathbb{T}^{n,l}$ with exactly one peak, which is even (respectively, odd). For example,

$$\mathbb{T}_{1,e}^{3,1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_{1,o}^{3,1} = \emptyset.$$

Finally, let $\mathbb{T}_{1,e}^n := \bigcup_{l \geq 0} \mathbb{T}_{1,e}^{n,l}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{1,o}^n := \bigcup_{l \geq 0} \mathbb{T}_{1,o}^{n,l}$.

7.5 Corollary. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and $l \in \underline{n}_0$, then*

$$|\mathbb{T}_{1,e}^{n,l}| = |\mathbb{T}_{1,o}^{n,l}| + 1,$$

if l is odd and $l < n$, while otherwise, $\mathbb{T}_{1,e}^{n,l} = \emptyset = \mathbb{T}_{1,o}^{n,l}$. In particular,

$$|\mathbb{T}_{1,e}^n| = |\mathbb{T}_{1,o}^n| + (n-1)/2.$$

Proof. To start with, observe that $\mathbb{T}^{n,l} \neq \emptyset$ implies that l is odd, since n is odd. Furthermore, $\mathbb{T}^{n,n}$ consists of the single tableau $t = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ \hline \end{array}$ without peak.

Now let $l < n$ be odd and set $x := (n-l)/2$ and $p := 2^x \cdot 1^l \vdash n$, then the Schensted Q -symbol yields a bijection $C_p \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n,l}$ ([31], [32, Section 4]), and the peak sets of π and $Q(\pi)$ coincide ([32, Remarque 2], see also [13, Theorem 2.1]).

Since $\text{sign}(p) = 0$, it follows that $2|\mathbb{T}_{1,e}^{n,l}| = 2|\mathbb{T}_{1,o}^{n,l}| + |C_p \cap \mathbb{P}_0|$, by Corollary 7.4. Denote by χ^q the irreducible S_n -character corresponding to q and by $o(q)$ the number of odd columns in the Ferrers diagram of q , for all $q \vdash n$, then [18, Theorem 2.1] and (12) imply

$$|C_p \cap \mathbb{P}_0| = \left(\sum_{o(q)=l} \chi^q, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi^{(n-k) \cdot 1^k} \right)_{S_n} = 2,$$

since $\sum_{o(q)=l} \chi^q$ is the character of the Lie representation of S_n indexed by p (see, for instance, [24, I.8, Example 6(b)]), and $(n-k) \cdot 1^k$ is a hook for all $k \in \underline{n-1}_0$, hence $\chi^{(n-k) \cdot 1^k}$ coincides with the character of the Foulkes representation of S_n corresponding to the composition $1^k \cdot (n-k)$ of n (see [18]). This completes the proof. $\square$

The canonical peak Lie idempotent

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\varrho_n := \sum_{q \models n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(q)-1}}{\ell(q)} \Xi^q$$

is a Lie idempotent in $\mathcal{D}_n$ - the *canonical Lie idempotent* ([29, 35]). By Proposition 7.1, it follows that

$$\tilde{\varrho}_n = \sum_{q \models n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(q)-1}}{\ell(q)} \tilde{\Xi}^q$$

is (up to the factor $\frac{1}{2}$) a peak Lie idempotent, if n is odd. Note that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \varrho_n t^n = \log \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \Xi^n t^n \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\varrho}_n t^n = \log \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\Xi}^n t^n \right),$$

where t is a variable.

7.6 Proposition. *If n is odd, then*

$$\tilde{\varrho}_n = 2 \sum_{q \models_{\text{odd}} n} \frac{(-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2}}{\ell(q)} \Gamma^q,$$

while $\tilde{\varrho}_n = 0$ for even n .

Proof. Standard manipulations based on Proposition 3.4 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varrho}_n &= \sum_{q \models n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(q)-1}}{\ell(q)} \tilde{\Xi}^q \\ &= \sum_{q \models n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(q)-1}}{\ell(q)} 2^{\ell(q)} \sum_{Q \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus (D(q) \cup (D(q)+1))} (-1)^{|Q|} \Gamma^Q \\ &= \sum_Q (-1)^{|Q|} \Gamma^Q \sum_{D \cup (D+1) \subseteq \underline{n} \setminus Q} \frac{(-1)^{|D|}}{|D|+1} 2^{|D|+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over all peak sets Q in $\underline{n}$. But, for all such Q , setting $E := Q \cup (Q-1)$ and $m := n-1-|E|$,

$$\sum_{D \cup (D+1) \subseteq \underline{n} \setminus Q} \frac{(-1)^{|D|}}{|D|+1} 2^{|D|+1} = \sum_{D \subseteq \underline{n-1} \setminus E} \frac{(-1)^{|D|}}{|D|+1} 2^{|D|+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} 2^{k+1} \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1} \left(- \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{k} (-2)^k \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1} \left(1 - (1-2)^{m+1} \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n-|E|} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

But $|E| = 2|Q|$ is even, hence $m \equiv n-1$ modulo 2. It follows that $\tilde{\varrho}_n = 0$ for even n and

$$\tilde{\varrho}_n = 2 \sum_Q \frac{(-1)^{|Q|}}{n-2|Q|} \Gamma^Q,$$

if n is odd, which is another way of stating the claim. $\square$

As a second step, here is an explicit description of the canonical peak Lie idempotent.

7.7 Proposition. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd, then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varrho}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (-1)^{\text{peak}(\pi)} \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2 \cdot \text{peak}(\pi))}{(n-2) \cdot (n-4) \cdots (n - (2 \cdot \text{peak}(\pi)))} \pi,$$

where $\text{peak}(\pi) := \#\text{Peak}(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in S_n$.

Proof. By Proposition 7.6 and (9),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varrho}_n &= \sum_{q \models_{\text{odd}} n} \frac{(-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2}}{\ell(q)} \Gamma^q \\
&= \sum_{q \models_{\text{odd}} n} \frac{(-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2}}{\ell(q)} \sum_{P(q) \subseteq P} \Pi^P \\
&= \sum_P \left(\sum_{\substack{q \models_{\text{odd}} n \\ P(q) \subseteq P}} \frac{(-1)^{(n-\ell(q))/2}}{\ell(q)} \right) \Pi^P \\
&= \sum_P \left(\sum_{Q \subseteq P} \frac{(-1)^{|Q|}}{n-2|Q|} \right) \Pi^P.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to be shown that, for each peak set P in $\underline{n}$ such that $|P| = k$,

$$k_P := \sum_{Q \subseteq P} \frac{(-1)^{|Q|}}{n - 2|Q|} = \frac{1}{n} (-1)^k \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2k)}{(n-2) \cdot (n-4) \cdots (n-2k)}.$$

But $k_P = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{n-2j} =: f_{n,k}$ depends only on k and n , and for these numbers, $f_{n,0} = \frac{1}{n}$ for all n , and $f_{n,k} = f_{n,k-1} - f_{n-2,k-1}$ for all $n \geq 3$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The same recursive formula holds for the coefficients in the claim, which thereby follows. $\square$

The canonical peak Lie idempotent will be of importance in Section 9.

The Klyachko peak Lie idempotent

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{sum } D := \sum_{i \in D} i$ for all $D \subseteq \underline{n}$, then $\text{maj } \pi := \text{sum Des}(\pi)$ is the *major index* of π , for all $\pi \in S_n$. Let

$$\kappa_n(t) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} t^{\text{maj } \pi} \pi,$$

where t is a variable, and denote by M_z the sum of all permutations π in S_n such that $\text{maj } \pi \equiv z$ modulo n , for all integers z . Once for all, let ε be a primitive n -th root of unity, then due to Klyachko ([21]),

$$\frac{1}{n} \kappa_n(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^i M_i$$

is a Lie idempotent. As a consequence,

$$\frac{1}{2n} \tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^i (M_i \tilde{\Xi}^n) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^i \tilde{M}_i \quad (22)$$

is a peak Lie idempotent whenever n is odd. Note that Proposition 5.5 implies

$$\tilde{M}_i = \sum_P 2^{|P|+1} \# \{ D \subseteq \underline{n-1} \mid \text{sum } D \equiv_n i, P \subseteq D \triangle (D+1) \} \Pi^P$$

for all $i \in \underline{n}$, summed over peak sets P in $\underline{n}$. Unfortunately, we are not able to present a “cleaner” description of the element $\tilde{M}_i$ (or of $\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon)$) in terms

of the elements Π^Q . However, there is a peak analog of [22, Proposition 5.1], which seems to be worth mentioning.

If $i \in \underline{n}$ and $d := \text{ord } \varepsilon^i$ denotes the order of ε^i , then

$$\kappa_n(\varepsilon^i) = \kappa_d(\varepsilon^i) \star \cdots \star \kappa_d(\varepsilon^i), \quad (23)$$

by [22, Proposition 4.1], hence also

$$\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^i) = \tilde{\kappa}_d(\varepsilon^i) \star \cdots \star \tilde{\kappa}_d(\varepsilon^i), \quad (24)$$

by Main Theorem 5.

7.8 Proposition. *Let $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $dk = n$, and let $\beta \in KS_d$ such that $\frac{1}{d}\beta$ is a Lie idempotent, then, for $\beta^{(k)} := \underbrace{\beta \star \cdots \star \beta}_{k \text{ factors}}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$,*

$$\varphi \beta^{(k)} = c(\varphi)(C_{d,k}) \beta^{(k)}.$$

Proof. For all $q \models n$,

$$\Xi^q \beta^{(k)} = \frac{1}{d.k?} \Xi^q \omega_{d,k} \beta^{(k)} = \frac{1}{d.k?} \xi^q(C_{d,k}) \omega_{d,k} \beta^{(k)} = \xi^q(C_{d,k}) \beta^{(k)},$$

by (20), [7, Lemma 1.3] and [8, (12)]. This implies the claim, by linearity. $\square$

Here is the peak analog of [22, Proposition 5.1].

7.9 Corollary. *Let n be odd, then the linear span $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n$ of the elements $\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^i)$ ($i \in \underline{n}$) is a left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_n$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n$ is linearly generated by*

$$\{\tilde{M}_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2\}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^i) \tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^j) = \begin{cases} d^{n/d?} \tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^j) & \text{if } d := \text{ord } \varepsilon^j = \text{ord } \varepsilon^i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{M}_i = \tilde{M}_{n-i}$, for all $i, j \in \underline{n}$. The image of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n$ under c is

$$\langle \{ \text{ch}_{d,k} \mid dk = n \} \rangle_K.$$

Proof. Let $i, j, d, k, e, l \in \underline{n}$ such that $d = \text{ord } \varepsilon^j$, $e = \text{ord } \varepsilon^i$, and $dk = n = el$, then $c(\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^i)) = \text{ch}_{e,l}$, by (24), (19) and (16). Proposition 7.8 and (24) thus imply that $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_n$ as asserted and, in particular, $\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^i)\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^j) = \text{ch}_{e,l}(C_{d,k})\tilde{\kappa}_n(\varepsilon^j)$. The multiplication rule follows.

For all $D \subseteq \underline{n-1}$, let $\overline{D} := \underline{n-1} \setminus D$, then on the one hand, Proposition 5.3 implies

$$\tilde{\Delta}^D = \Delta^D \tilde{\Xi}^n = \Delta^D \Delta^{1^n} \tilde{\Xi}^n = \Delta^{\overline{D}} \tilde{\Xi}^n = \tilde{\Delta}^{\overline{D}}.$$

On the other hand, for any $\pi \in S_n$, $\text{maj}(\pi \Delta^{1^n}) = \binom{n}{2} - \text{maj } \pi \equiv_n n - \text{maj } \pi$, since n is odd. It follows that

$$\tilde{M}_i = \sum_{\text{sum } D \equiv i} \tilde{\Delta}^D = \sum_{\text{sum } D \equiv i} \tilde{\Delta}^{\overline{D}} = \sum_{\text{sum } D \equiv n-i} \tilde{\Delta}^D = \tilde{M}_{n-i}.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Note that [22, Proposition 5.1] may be obtained along the same lines, if (23) instead of (24) is considered.

We conjecture that the elements $\tilde{M}_i$, $0 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2$, are linearly independent and thus

$$\dim \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_n = \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

8 The action on Lie monomials - an algebraic characterization

The definition of the descent algebra $\mathcal{D}_n$ (as well as the definition of the peak algebra $\mathcal{P}_n$) is given in purely combinatorial terms.

Due to Garsia and Reutenauer, there is a remarkable result, which links the descent algebra to the free Lie algebra and the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis of the free associative algebra ([15, Theorem 2.1]). Based on this result, an algebraic characterization of $\mathcal{D}_n$ could be given (ibid., Theorem 4.5). The aim of this section is to derive an analogous algebraic characterization of the peak algebra.

Recall that $L(X) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L_n(X)$ denotes the free Lie algebra over the alphabet X , contained in the free associative algebra $A(X)$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$ and $P_1 \in L_{q_1}(X), \dots, P_k \in L_{q_k}(X)$, then, for all $S = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subseteq \underline{k}$ such that $i_1 < \dots < i_m$, put

$$q_S := q_{i_1} \dots q_{i_k} \quad \text{and} \quad P_S := P_{i_1} \dots P_{i_k}.$$

Furthermore, denote by $\text{SP}(\underline{k})$ the set of all set partitions $(S_1, \dots, S_l)$ of $\underline{k}$. With these notations, [15, Theorem 2.1] reads as follows:

8.1 Theorem. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q = q_1 \dots q_k$, $r = r_1 \dots r_l \models n$ and $P_i \in L_{q_i}(X)$ for all $i \in \underline{k}$, then*

$$\Xi^r P_1 \cdots P_k = \sum_{\substack{(S_1, \dots, S_l) \in \text{SP}(\underline{k}) \\ q_{S_i} \models r_i}} P_{S_1} \cdots P_{S_l},$$

via Polya action.

As a consequence, for all $\varphi \in KS_n$, it follows that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ if and only if

$$\varphi P_1 \cdots P_k \in \left\langle \{ P_{1\pi} \cdots P_{k\pi} \mid \pi \in S_k \} \right\rangle_K,$$

for all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$, $P_1 \in L_{q_1}(X), \dots, P_k \in L_{q_k}(X)$ (see [15, Theorem 4.5]). In particular, $\mathcal{D}_n$ acts on the linear span of the elements $P_{1\pi} \cdots P_{k\pi}$, $\pi \in S_k$, from the left. One is tempted to ask if $\mathcal{P}_n$ may be characterized by *the way* the elements of $\mathcal{P}_n$ act on these linear spaces; the answer is yes. The surprising characterization is again related to parity and based on the following application of Theorem 8.1.

8.2 Lemma. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$ and $P_i \in L_{q_i}(X)$ for all $i \in \underline{k}$. If q_1 is even, then*

$$\tilde{\Xi}^n P_1 \cdots P_k = 0.$$

Proof. Denoting by $\text{SP}(\underline{k})_{\text{odd}}$ the set of all $(S_1, \dots, S_m) \in \text{SP}(\underline{k})$ such that $\text{sum } q_{S_m}$ is odd, it follows from Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 8.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Xi}^n P_1 \cdots P_k &= 2 \sum_{\substack{s \models n \\ s^\dagger \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{n-\ell(s)} \Xi^s P_1 \cdots P_k \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{s \models n \\ s^\dagger \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{n-\ell(s)} \sum_{\substack{(S_1, \dots, S_{\ell(s)}) \in \text{SP}(\underline{k}) \\ q_{S_i} \models s_i}} P_{S_1} \cdots P_{S_{\ell(s)}} \\ &= 2 \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_m) \in \text{SP}(\underline{k})_{\text{odd}}} (-1)^{n-m} P_{S_1} \cdots P_{S_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Let A be the set of all $(S_1, \dots, S_m) \in \text{SP}(\underline{k})_{\text{odd}}$ such that $S_i = \{1\}$ for an index $i \in \underline{m}$, and set $B := \text{SP}(\underline{k})_{\text{odd}} \setminus A$. Note that $S_i = \{1\}$ implies that

$i < m$, for all $(S_1, \dots, S_m) \in \mathbf{SP}(\underline{k})_{\text{odd}}$, since q_1 is even and $\text{sum } q_{S_m}$ is odd. For all $S = (S_1, \dots, S_m) \in A$, choose $i \in \underline{m-1}$ such that $S_i = \{1\}$ and define

$$\tilde{S} := (S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}, S_i \cup S_{i+1}, S_{i+2}, \dots, S_m) \in B.$$

Conversely, for all $T = (T_1, \dots, T_m) \in B$, let $i \in \underline{m}$ such that $1 \in T_i$ and define

$$\hat{T} := (T_1, \dots, T_{i-1}, \{1\}, T_i \setminus \{1\}, T_{i+1}, \dots, T_m) \in A.$$

The mapping $A \rightarrow B$, $S \mapsto \tilde{S}$ is a bijection with inverse given by $T \mapsto \hat{T}$. Furthermore, for all $S = (S_1, \dots, S_m) \in A$, if $\tilde{S} = (\tilde{S}_1, \dots, \tilde{S}_{m-1})$, then

$$P_{S_1} \cdots P_{S_m} = P_{\tilde{S}_1} \cdots P_{\tilde{S}_{m-1}},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Xi}^n P_1 \cdots P_k &= 2 \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_m) \in \mathbf{SP}(\underline{k})_{\text{odd}}} (-1)^{n-m} P_{S_1} \cdots P_{S_m} \\ &= 2 \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_m) \in A} (-1)^{n-m} (P_{S_1} \cdots P_{S_m} - P_{\tilde{S}_1} \cdots P_{\tilde{S}_{m-1}}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. $\square$

We proceed by transferring Theorem 8.1 to the group ring KS_n . The symmetric group S_n acts on KS_n by left multiplication, and on $A_n(X)$ via Polya action. Mapping $\pi \in S_n$ onto the multi-linear word $(1\pi).(2\pi) \dots (n\pi) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ extends to an S_n -left module monomorphism $\iota_n : KS_n \rightarrow A_n(\mathbb{N})$, by linearity. For any $\pi \in S_n$, $\sigma \in S_m$, define $\pi \# \sigma \in S_{n+m}$ by

$$i(\pi \# \sigma) := \begin{cases} i\pi & \text{if } i \leq n, \\ (i-n)\sigma + n & \text{if } i > n, \end{cases}$$

for all $i \in \underline{n+m}$. Bilinear extension gives an associative product $\#$ on KS . Let γ be a Lie series in $\mathcal{D}$ and put $\gamma^q := \gamma_{q_1} \# \cdots \# \gamma_{q_k}$ for all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then

$$\gamma_q = \gamma^q \Xi^q \quad (25)$$

(see, for instance, [34, Proposition 2.1]). Furthermore, there is a Lie monomial $P_i \in L_{q_i}(X)$ for each $i \in \underline{k}$ as in Theorem 8.1 such that

$$\gamma^q \iota_n = P_1 \cdots P_k. \quad (26)$$

As a consequence, Theorem 8.1 implies that $\gamma_r \gamma_q = \gamma^r(\Xi^r \gamma^q) \Xi^q = 0$ unless there is composition $\dot{q} \models n$ such that $q \approx \dot{q} \models r$. In this case, write $q \models r$, that is,

$$\gamma_r \gamma_q \neq 0 \text{ implies } q \models r. \quad (27)$$

With these preparations, the preceding lemma yields an *internal* characterization of the elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ — based on the action of φ on $\mathcal{D}_n$ itself from the left.

8.3 Corollary. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and γ be a Lie series in $\mathcal{D}$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ if and only if*

$$\varphi \gamma_q = 0$$

for all $q = q_1 \dots q_l \models n$ such that q_1 is even.

Proof. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$, there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n$ such that $\varphi = \alpha \tilde{\Xi}^n$, by Main Theorem 5, hence (25), (26) and Lemma 8.2 imply $\varphi \gamma_q = \alpha(\tilde{\Xi}^n \gamma^q) \Xi^q = 0$ whenever q_1 is even.

Conversely, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$ such that $\varphi \gamma_q = 0$ whenever q_1 is even. If β is another Lie series in $\mathcal{D}$, it follows that $\varphi \beta_q = \frac{1}{q!} \varphi \gamma_q \beta_q = 0$ for all $q \models n$ such that q_1 is even, by (20). Without loss of generality, we may thus assume that $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, for all odd n , hence $\mathcal{P}_n = \langle \{ \gamma_q \mid q \models_{\text{odd}} n \} \rangle_K$, by Main Theorem 7.

Let $\varphi = \sum_{r \models n} a_r \gamma_r$ and assume that $\varphi \notin \mathcal{P}_n$, then there is a composition s of n such that $a_s \neq 0$ and s is not odd. Choose s of minimal length and $t \approx s$ such that t_1 is even, then

$$0 = \varphi \gamma_t = \sum_{r \models_{\text{odd}} n} a_r \gamma_r \gamma_t + \sum_{\substack{r \models n \\ r \text{ not odd}}} a_r \gamma_r \gamma_t = \sum_{\substack{r \models n \\ r \text{ not odd}}} a_r \gamma_r \gamma_t,$$

by the part already proven. But $a_r \gamma_r \gamma_t \neq 0$ implies $a_r \neq 0$ and $s \approx t \models r$, for all $r \models n$, by (27). If, additionally, r is not odd, then $\ell(s) \leq \ell(r)$, due to the choice of s , hence $\ell(s) = \ell(r)$ and $s \approx r$. It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{r \models n \\ r \text{ not odd}}} a_r \gamma_r \gamma_t = r? \sum_{s \approx r} a_r \gamma_r,$$

But the elements γ_r , $r \approx s$, are linearly independent, which implies $a_r = 0$ for all $r \approx s$, a contradiction. This shows $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$. $\square$

Combining Lemma 8.2 and Corollary 8.3 leads to the following algebraic characterization of the left ideal $\mathcal{P}_n$ of $\mathcal{D}_n$.

Main Theorem 8. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_n$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ if and only if*

$$\varphi P_1 \cdots P_k = 0$$

for all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$ such that q_1 is even and all $P_1 \in L_{q_1}(X), \dots, P_k \in L_{q_k}(X)$.

Proof. The necessity part follows from Lemma 8.2 and Main Theorem 5. Conversely, $\varphi P_1 \cdots P_k = 0$ for all the Lie monomials $P_1, \dots, P_k$ mentioned in the claim implies $\varphi \gamma^q = 0$ for all $q \models n$ such that q_1 is even, by (26), hence also $\varphi \gamma_q = \varphi \gamma^q \Xi^q = 0$. Now $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$ follows from Corollary 8.3. $\square$

It seems to be worth mentioning that putting together the preceding theorem and the Garsia-Reutenauer characterization of $\mathcal{D}_n$ yields:

Any $\varphi \in KS_n$ is constant on each peak class in S_n if and only if, via Polya action, φ acts on the linear span $\langle \{ P_{1\pi} \cdots P_{k\pi} \mid \pi \in S_k \} \rangle_K$ for all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \models n$, $P_1 \in L_{q_1}(X), \dots, P_k \in L_{q_k}(X)$, and annihilates $P_1 \cdots P_k$ whenever q_1 is even.

9 The Eulerian peak algebra

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the sums of all permutations in S_n with a given number of descents:

$$\Delta^{n,k} := \sum_{\substack{q \models n \\ \ell(q)=k}} \Delta^q \quad (k \in \underline{n}).$$

The linear span $\mathcal{E}_n$ of these elements is an n -dimensional commutative sub-algebra of $\mathcal{D}_n$ (see, for instance, [10, 15]), usually referred to as the *Eulerian sub-algebra* of $\mathcal{D}_n$. The aim of this section is to study the peak variant of $\mathcal{E}_n$. Recall first that a second basis of $\mathcal{E}_n$ is constituted by the elements

$$\Xi^{n,k} := \sum_{\substack{q \models n \\ \ell(q)=k}} \Xi^q \quad (k \in \underline{n}),$$

and that the canonical Lie idempotent ϱ_n has been defined in Section 7. If we set $\varrho_q := \varrho_{q_1} \star \cdots \star \varrho_{q_k}$, for all $q = q_1 \dots q_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then due to Reutenauer

([29], see also [14]), the elements

$$\varrho_{n,k} := \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{q \models n \\ \ell(q)=k}} \varrho_q \quad (k \in \underline{n})$$

are mutually orthogonal idempotents in $\mathcal{E}_n$, and $\sum_{k=1}^n \varrho_{n,k} = \text{id}_n$.

Now consider the sums of all permutations in S_n with a given number of peaks:

$$\Pi^{n,k} := \sum_{\substack{P \text{ peak set in } \underline{n} \\ |P|=k}} \Pi^P,$$

and denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ the linear span of these elements. Furthermore, let

$$\Gamma^{n,k} := \sum_{\substack{P \text{ peak set in } \underline{n} \\ |P|=k}} \Gamma^P$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

9.1 Proposition. *The sets*

$$\{\Pi^{n,k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\Gamma^{n,k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2\}$$

are linear bases of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$, and

$$\Gamma^{n,k} = \sum_{j \geq k} \binom{j}{k} \Pi^{n,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^{n,k} = \sum_{j \geq k} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{j}{k} \Gamma^{n,j} \quad (28)$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$. Furthermore, $\tilde{\Xi}^{n,k} = \Xi^{n,k} \tilde{\Xi}^n \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ for all $k \in \underline{n}$.

Proof. By definition, $\{\Pi^{n,k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2\}$ is a linear basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$.

The identities (28) are immediate from (9) and (10). In particular, the elements $\Gamma^{n,k}$, $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$ are also linearly independent. Finally,

$$\tilde{\Xi}^{n,k} = \sum_{\substack{q \models n \\ \ell(q)=k}} \tilde{\Xi}^q = 2^k \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{n-1-2j}{k-1} \Gamma^{n,j} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$$

is readily seen from (15) and Proposition 3.4. □

Now observe that

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{n,k} = \varrho_{n,k} \tilde{\Xi}^n = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{q \vdash n \\ \ell(q)=k}} \tilde{\varrho}_q = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{q \vdash_{\text{odd}} n \\ \ell(q)=k}} \tilde{\varrho}_q, \quad (29)$$

for all $k \in \underline{n}$, where the latter equality is a consequence of Proposition 7.6. In particular, $\tilde{\varrho}_{n,k} \neq 0$ if and only if $k \equiv n$ modulo 2, since $q \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$ implies $\ell(q) \equiv n$ modulo 2.

Main Theorem 9. *$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ is a commutative sub-algebra of $\mathcal{P}_n$ of dimension $(n+1)/2$, if n is odd, and $n/2$, if n is even. As an algebra, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ is generated by the single element*

$$\Pi^{n,0} = \Pi^\emptyset = \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta^{1 \cdot k, (n-k)}.$$

Furthermore, $\{\frac{1}{2^k} \tilde{\varrho}_{n,k} \mid k \in \underline{n}, k \equiv n \text{ modulo } 2\}$ is a linear basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ consisting of mutually orthogonal idempotents. In particular, $c|_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n}$ is a monomorphism of algebras, mapping $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ onto the linear span of the elements

$$\text{char}_{n,k} := \sum_{\substack{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n \\ \ell(p)=k}} \text{char}_p \quad (k \equiv n \text{ modulo } 2).$$

The first statement in the preceding theorem is due to Doyle and Rockmore ([11]).

Proof. By (19), Main Theorem 6 and (16), $c(\varrho_q) = \frac{1}{q_1 \cdots q_k} \text{ch}_q$ for all $q = q_1 \cdots q_k \vdash n$. For each $k \in \underline{n}$ and $p \vdash n$, it follows that $c(\varrho_{n,k})(C_p) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\ell(q)=k} c(\varrho_q)(C_p)$ does not vanish if and only if $\ell(p) = k$, which implies

$$c(\varrho_{n,k}) = \sum_{\substack{p \vdash n \\ \ell(p)=k}} \text{char}_p,$$

since the elements $c(\varrho_{n,k})$ are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\text{Cl}_K(S_n)$ summing up to $c(\text{id}_n) = c(\Xi^n) = \xi^n = \sum_{p \vdash n} \text{char}_p$, by Theorem 6.1.

As another consequence of Theorem 6.1 and Main Theorem 6,

$$c(\frac{1}{2^k} \tilde{\varrho}_{n,k}) = \frac{1}{2^k} c(\varrho_{n,k}) c(\tilde{\Xi}^n) = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{\substack{p \vdash n \\ \ell(p)=k}} \text{char}_p \sum_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} 2^{\ell(p)} \text{char}_p = \text{char}_{n,k}$$

as asserted. Furthermore, $\varrho_{n,k} \in \mathcal{E}_n$, hence $\tilde{\varrho}_{n,k}$ is a linear combination of the elements $\tilde{\Xi}^{n,k}$. Proposition 9.1 implies $\tilde{\varrho}_{n,k} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ and, in particular,

$$\dim c(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n) \geq \dim \langle \{ \text{char}_{n,k} \mid k \equiv n \text{ modulo } 2 \} \rangle_K = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n.$$

In other words, $c|_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n}$ is injective, mapping $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ onto the linear span of the elements $\text{char}_{n,k}$, $k \equiv n$ modulo 2. But these elements are mutually orthogonal idempotents, hence so are the elements $\frac{1}{2^k} \tilde{\varrho}_{n,k}$, $k \equiv n$ modulo 2, by Main Theorem 6. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ is a commutative sub-algebra of $\mathcal{P}_n$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_n$ be the sub-algebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ generated by $\Pi^\emptyset = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Xi}^n$, then $c(\mathcal{Z}_n)$ is a sub-algebra of $\text{Cl}_K(S_n)$ containing

$$c(\tilde{\Xi}^n) = \sum_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} 2^{\ell(p)} \text{char}_p = \sum_{k \equiv n} 2^k \text{char}_{n,k}.$$

The transition matrix expressing the powers of $c(\tilde{\Xi}^n)$ in $\text{Cl}_K(S_n)$ in the elements $\text{char}_{n,k}$ is thus a Vandermonde matrix, which particularly implies $\dim \mathcal{Z}_n = \dim c(\mathcal{Z}_n) = \dim c(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n) = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$, hence $\mathcal{Z}_n = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$. This completes the proof. $\square$

9.2 Corollary. *The element $e := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \tilde{\varrho}_{n,k}$ is an idempotent in $\mathcal{P}_n$ such that $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{D}_n e$.*

Proof. The element e is an idempotent, by (29) and Main Theorem 9, and $\mathcal{D}_n e = \mathcal{D}_n (\sum_{k=1}^n \varrho_{n,k}) \tilde{\Xi}^n = \mathcal{D}_n \tilde{\Xi}^n = \mathcal{P}_n$, by Main Theorem 5. $\square$

9.3 Remark. *As in the case of $\mathcal{P}_n$ itself (Section 4), there is a direct combinatorial approach to the essential part of Main Theorem 9, as will be briefly explained now.*

Let $\pi, \sigma \in S_n$, then σ is called a *peak number neighbor* of π if σ is a peak neighbor of π or $\sigma = \pi \tau_{n,n-1}$ and $\{(n-1)\pi^{-1}, n\pi^{-1}\} \subseteq \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. In this case, write $\sigma \smile_{|P|} \pi$. Furthermore, denote by $\sim_{|P|}$ the smallest equivalence relation on S_n containing $\smile_{|P|}$.

In analogy to Lemma 4.4, there is the following result:

$$\text{peak}(\pi) = \text{peak}(\sigma) \text{ if and only if } \pi \sim_{|P|} \sigma, \text{ for all } \pi, \sigma \in S_n.$$

Set $F(i, j, \pi) := \{ (\alpha, \beta) \in S_n \times S_n \mid \text{peak}(\alpha) = i, \text{peak}(\beta) = j, \alpha\beta = \pi \}$, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and observe that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ is multiplicatively closed if $\#F(i, j, \pi) =$

$\#F(i, j, \sigma)$ for all $\pi, \sigma \in S_n$ such that $\text{peak}(\pi) = \text{peak}(\sigma)$. The latter condition is equivalent to $\pi \sim_{|P|} \sigma$, as was mentioned above, and it suffices to consider the case where $\pi \sim_{|P|} \sigma$.

If $\pi \sim_P \sigma$, then $\text{Peak}(\pi) = \text{Peak}(\sigma)$, and $\#F(i, j, \pi) = \#F(i, j, \sigma)$ follows from the fact that $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{D}_n$.

The case where $\sigma = \pi\tau_{n,n-1}$ and $\{(n-1)\pi^{-1}, n\pi^{-1}\} \subseteq \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ remains. But, in this case, $\iota_\pi : F(i, j, \pi) \longrightarrow F(i, j, \sigma)$, defined by

$$(\alpha, \beta) \longmapsto \begin{cases} (\alpha, \beta\tau_{n,n-1}) & \text{if } \beta\tau_{n,n-1} \sim_{|P|} \beta, \\ (\alpha(\beta\tau_{n,n-1}\beta^{-1}), \beta) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a bijection (with inverse given by ι_σ).

Thus it follows again that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ is a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{P}_n$.

10 Principal indecomposables and the Jacobson radical

The peak algebra $\mathcal{P}_n$ is not only a sub-module of the regular $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module, but even a direct summand (Main Theorem 10). Basic information about the structure of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is thus easily deduced from the corresponding results on $\mathcal{D}_n$. This includes a decomposition into indecomposable $\mathcal{P}_n$ -left modules and a description of the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{P}_n$ (Corollary 10.3) as well as of its Cartan invariants (Corollary 10.6). More effort is required to collect informations on the descending Loewy series of $\mathcal{P}_n$, which allows to determine the nil-potency index of the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{P}_n$ (Theorem 10.10).

The results on the structure of $\mathcal{D}_n$ derived in [7] and [8] are of crucial importance for what follows. Furthermore, we should like to point out again that $\mathcal{P}_n$ is an algebra *without* identity; standard arguments from representation theory may thus be applied with care only.

Throughout this section, γ is a Lie series in $\mathcal{D}$. Recall that γ extends to an isomorphism of algebras $\gamma : A(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \star)$, $\sum a_q q \mapsto \sum a_q \gamma_q$ (see (21)). To start with, here is an immediate consequence of [7, Lemma 1.3].

10.1 Proposition. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then γ maps each rearrangement class $\{q \mid q \approx p\}$ ($p \vdash n$) onto the indecomposable $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module*

$$\Lambda^p := \mathcal{D}_n \gamma_p = \langle \{\gamma_q \mid q \approx p\} \rangle_K,$$

and $\mathcal{D}_n = \bigoplus_{p \vdash n} \Lambda^p$. Furthermore, $\Lambda^p \cong \mathcal{D}_n \omega_p$ as a $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module.

Proof. Let $p \vdash n$, then the mapping $\mathcal{D}_n \gamma_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_n \omega_p$, $\varphi \longmapsto \frac{1}{p?} \varphi \omega_p$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left modules with inverse $\varphi \longmapsto \frac{1}{p?} \varphi \gamma_p$, by (20). In particular, Λ^p is an indecomposable $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module, since

$$\mathcal{D}_n \omega_p = \langle \{ \omega_q \mid q \approx p \} \rangle_K$$

is indecomposable ([7, Lemma 1.3]), and $\Lambda^p = \mathcal{D}_n \omega_p \gamma_p = \langle \{ \gamma_q \mid q \approx p \} \rangle_K$, by (20) again. Finally, $\mathcal{D}_n = \langle \{ \gamma_q \mid q \models n \} \rangle_K = \bigoplus_{p \vdash n} \Lambda^p$, as $\gamma : A(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

As a consequence of (19), Main Theorem 6 and (16),

$$c(\gamma_q) = \text{ch}_q \tag{30}$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, which leads to

10.2 Proposition. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p \vdash n$, then Λ^p contains a unique maximal Λ^p -left sub-module, namely $\Lambda^p \cap \ker c = \langle \{ \gamma_p - \gamma_q \mid q \approx p \} \rangle_K$.*

In particular, Λ^p is indecomposable as a Λ^p -left module.

Proof. The stated equality is immediate from Proposition 10.1 and (30), hence $K := \Lambda^p \cap \ker c$ is a Λ^p -sub-module of Λ^p of co-dimension 1 and thus maximal.

Let M be an arbitrary maximal Λ^p -sub-module of Λ^p . If $m = \sum_{q \approx p} k_q \gamma_q \in M$ and $s \approx p$, then

$$p? \left(\sum_{q \approx p} k_q \right) \gamma_s = \gamma_s m \in M,$$

by (20), hence $\sum_{q \approx p} k_q = 0$, since $M \neq \Lambda^p$. It follows that $c(m) = (\sum_{q \approx p} k_q) \text{ch}_p = 0$, by (30), thus $M \subseteq K$ and even $M = K$. $\square$

From now on, assume that $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, for all odd n . Furthermore, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed, and the set of all odd partitions of n is denoted by $\text{Part}_{\text{odd}}(n)$.

Main Theorem 10. $\mathcal{P}_n = \bigoplus_{p \vdash \text{odd } n} \Lambda^p$ is a decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_n$ into indecomposable $\mathcal{P}_n$ -left modules. In particular, $\mathcal{P}_n$ is a direct summand of the regular $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module:

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \bigoplus_{p \vdash n} \Lambda^p = \mathcal{P}_n \oplus \bigoplus_p \Lambda^p,$$

where the latter sum runs over all $p \in \text{Part}(n) \setminus \text{Part}_{\text{odd}}(n)$.

Proof. By Main Theorem 7 and Proposition 10.1, indecomposability of Λ^p as a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -left module remains, for $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$. But this follows directly from Proposition 10.2. $\square$

For any finite-dimensional K -algebra A and any A -left module M , the A -radical $\text{Rad}_A M$ of M is the intersection of all maximal A -sub-modules of M . In particular, $\text{Rad } A = \text{Rad}_A A$ is the *Jacobson radical* of A .

10.3 Corollary. $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p = \ker c \cap \Lambda^p = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \Lambda^p$, for all $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$. In particular,

$$\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n = \bigoplus_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p = \ker c|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \langle \{ \gamma_q - \gamma_p \mid q \approx p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n \} \rangle_K,$$

and $\mathcal{P}_n / \text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n$ is commutative and of dimension $\#\text{Part}_{\text{odd}}(n)$.

Proof. The first claim follows from Propositions 10.1, 10.2 and [7, Corollary 1.6]. As a consequence,

$$\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n \subseteq \bigoplus_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p = \ker c|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \langle \{ \gamma_q - \gamma_p \mid q \approx p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n \} \rangle_K,$$

and $\gamma_p \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p = 0$, by (20).

Let M be a maximal sub-module of $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$. Assume that $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p$ is not contained in M , then $M + \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p = \mathcal{P}_n$. In particular, there are $m \in M$ and $r \in \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p$ such that $\gamma_p = m + r$, which implies

$$p? \gamma_p = \gamma_p(m + r) = \gamma_p m \in M,$$

hence even $\Lambda^p \subseteq M$, by (20) — a contradiction. This shows the remaining inclusion.

An application of Main Theorem 6 completes the proof. $\square$

Recall from [8, p. 319] that

$$M^p := \Lambda^p / \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \Lambda^p$$

is a one-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{D}_n$ -module, for all $p \vdash n$, and that the modules M^p , $p \vdash n$, represent the isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathcal{D}_n$ -modules.

If $n = 1$, then $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{D}_n$. For the remainder, assume that $n > 1$, then, for instance,

$$M^0 := M^{2.1^{(n-2)}}$$

is a trivial $\mathcal{P}_n$ -module, reflecting the fact that $\mathcal{P}_n$ is an algebra without identity. More generally, if V is a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -module, then

$$\overline{V} := \{v \in V \mid \varphi v = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$$

is a zero sub-module of V , that is $\overline{V} \cong_{\mathcal{P}_n} (\dim \overline{V})M^0$.

10.4 Corollary. M^p , $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$, and M^0 represent the isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathcal{P}_n$ -modules. Furthermore,

$$M^0 \cong M^q$$

(as $\mathcal{P}_n$ -left modules) for all $q \vdash n$ containing an even letter.

Proof. Let V be an irreducible $\mathcal{P}_n$ -left module, then either $\overline{V} = V$, hence $V \cong_{\mathcal{P}_n} M^0$, or $\overline{V} = 0$. In the latter case, let $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{P}_n v$ is a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -sub-module $\neq 0$ of V . It follows that the $\mathcal{P}_n$ -left module homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow V$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi v$ is surjective. Standard arguments based on Corollary 10.3 and

$$\mathcal{P}_n / \text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n \cong_{\mathcal{P}_n} \bigoplus_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} \Lambda^p / \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p = \bigoplus_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} M^p$$

complete the proof of the first claim, since $\ker \alpha$ is a maximal sub-module of $\mathcal{P}_n$, thus contains $\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n$.

Assume now that $q \vdash n$ contains an even letter. Let $t \models n$ such that $t \approx q$ and $r \models_{\text{odd}} n$, then $\gamma_r \gamma_t \in \ker c \cap \Lambda^q = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \Lambda^q$, by (30) and [7, Corollary 1.6], hence $\gamma_r M^q = 0$. This shows $M^q \cong M^0$ (as a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -module). $\square$

A direct consequence of [8, Proposition 1.1] is

10.5 Proposition. Let M be a $\mathcal{D}_n$ -left module, then, for each $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$, the multiplicity of M^p in a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -composition series of M is

$$[M, M^p]_{\mathcal{P}_n} := \dim \gamma_p M,$$

which is also the multiplicity $[M, M^p]_{\mathcal{D}_n}$ of M^p in a $\mathcal{D}_n$ -composition series of M . The multiplicity of M^0 in a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -composition series of M is

$$[M, M^0]_{\mathcal{P}_n} = \sum_{\substack{p \vdash n \\ p \text{ not odd}}} [M, M^p]_{\mathcal{D}_n} = \dim M - \sum_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} [M, M^p]_{\mathcal{P}_n}.$$

A description of the Cartan invariants

$$c_{qp} := [\Lambda^q, M^p]_{\mathcal{P}_n} \quad (q, p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n)$$

of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is now immediate from [8]. Recall that any word $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ has a unique decomposition $r = r^{(1)} \dots r^{(l)}$ into Lyndon words $r^{(1)}, \dots, r^{(l)} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $r^{(1)} \geq_{\text{lex}} \dots \geq_{\text{lex}} r^{(l)}$, where $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ denotes the lexicographical order on $\mathbb{N}^*$ ([14, Proposition 7.1]). If r is a composition of n , then so is the *Lyndon sum composition* corresponding to r , defined by

$$\text{LSC}(r) := (\text{sum } r^{(1)}) \dots (\text{sum } r^{(l)}).$$

10.6 Corollary. *The Cartan matrix of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is given by*

$$c_{qp} = [\Lambda^q, M^p]_{\mathcal{D}_n} = \#\{r \models n \mid r \approx q, \text{LSC}(r) \approx p\},$$

for all $p, q \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$.

Proof. The first equality follows from Proposition 10.5, the second from [8, Corollary 2.1 (and its proof)] (see also [15, Theorem 5.4]), since

$$[\Lambda^q, M^p]_{\mathcal{D}_n} = \dim \gamma_q \Lambda^p = \dim \gamma_q \mathcal{D}_n \gamma_p = \dim \omega_q \mathcal{D}_n \omega_p,$$

by Propositions 10.1, 10.5, and (20). □

Let A be a finite dimensional K -algebra, then the *descending Loewy series* of an A -module M is the chain of sub-modules $\text{Rad}_A^{(j)} M$, defined by $\text{Rad}_A^{(0)} M := M$ and $\text{Rad}_A^{(j)} M := \text{Rad}_A(\text{Rad}_A^{(j-1)} M)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

To conclude this section, enough information on the descending Loewy series of $\mathcal{P}_n$ is presented to determine the nil-potency index of $\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n$.

10.7 Lemma. *Let M be a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -left module and U be a sub-module of M such that*

- (i) M/U is a zero module;
- (ii) U is completely reducible;
- (iii) $[U, M^p]_{\mathcal{P}_n} \neq 0 \neq [U, M^q]_{\mathcal{P}_n}$ and $p \approx q$ imply $p = q$, for all $p, q \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$;

then M is completely reducible.

Proof. Assume first that U has co-dimension 1 in M , and let $m \in M$ such that $M = U \oplus \langle m \rangle_K$. Set $\tilde{m} := m - \sum_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} \frac{1}{p?} \gamma_p m$, then $M = U \oplus \langle \tilde{m} \rangle_K$, since $\sum_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} \frac{1}{p?} \gamma_p m \in U$, by (i).

We will show that $\langle \tilde{m} \rangle_K$ is a trivial sub-module of M , which implies the claim, by (ii).

Let $r \models_{\text{odd}} n$ and denote by q the partition obtained by rearranging r , then one of the following two cases holds.

case 1: $\dim \gamma_q M = [M, M^q]_{\mathcal{P}_n} = 0$, then $\gamma_r \tilde{m} = \frac{1}{q?} \gamma_r \gamma_q \tilde{m} = 0$.

case 2: $\dim \gamma_q M = [M, M^q]_{\mathcal{P}_n} \neq 0$, then (iii), (20) and (27) imply $\gamma_r \gamma_p = \frac{1}{q?} \gamma_r \gamma_q \gamma_p = 0$ for all $p \neq q$ such that $[M, M^p]_{\mathcal{P}_n} \neq 0$, while $\gamma_p m = 0$ for all $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$ such that $[M, M^p]_{\mathcal{P}_n} = 0$. It follows that

$$\gamma_r \tilde{m} = \gamma_r m - \sum_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} \frac{1}{p?} \gamma_r \gamma_p m = \gamma_r m - \frac{1}{q?} \gamma_r \gamma_q m = 0,$$

by (20).

If the co-dimension d of U in M is larger than 1, then choose a maximal sub-module $\hat{M}$ of M containing U . $\hat{M}$ is completely reducible, by induction on d , and has co-dimension 1 in M , by (i). Now apply the part already proven to $\hat{M}$ instead of U to conclude that M is completely reducible. $\square$

10.8 Corollary. $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{(j)} \Lambda^p \subseteq \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-1)} \Lambda^p$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$.

Proof. Let $R^i := \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(i)} \Lambda^p$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then R^i/R^{i+1} is completely reducible as a $\mathcal{D}_n$ -module.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \vdash n$ such that M_r is isomorphic to an irreducible constituent of R^i/R^{i+1} , then $\ell(r) = \ell(p) - i$, by [8, Theorem 2.2]. In particular, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, R^{2j-1}/R^{2j} is a zero module for $\mathcal{P}_n$, since r is not odd whenever $\ell(r) = \ell(p) - (2j - 1)$. Furthermore, $\ell(r) = \ell(s)$ for all $r, s \vdash n$ such that M^r and M^s occur in R^{2j}/R^{2j+1} , hence $r \approx s$ implies $r = s$.

Applying Lemma 10.7 to $M = R^{2j-1}/R^{2j+1}$, $U := R^{2j}/R^{2j+1}$ yields complete reducibility of R^{2j-1}/R^{2j+1} as a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -module. Now $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \Lambda^p$ allows to complete the proof by a simple induction. $\square$

We conjecture that the descending Loewy series of Λ^p (as a $\mathcal{P}_n$ -module) is equal to the sub-chain of the descending Loewy series of Λ^p (as a $\mathcal{D}_n$ -module)

consisting of Λ^p and the odd steps $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-1)} \Lambda^p$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. A proof, however, can only be given in the case where p is a hook partition.

10.9 Lemma. *Let $k \in \underline{n-1}$ be odd and $p = k.1^{(n-k)} \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$, then*

$$\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{(j)} \Lambda^p = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-1)} \Lambda^p,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $j = 1$, this has been proven in Corollary 10.3. Let $j > 1$.

If $k \geq n - 2$, then $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-1)} \Lambda^p = 0$, by [7, Corollary 2.6], and the claim follows from Corollary 10.8.

Let $k < n - 2$ and $s \vdash n$ such that $\ell(s) = \ell(p) - 1$ and $p \vdash s$, then $s = (k+1).1^{(n-k-1)}$ or $s = k.2.1^{(n-k-2)}$. In the latter case, $\gamma_s \gamma_p = 0$, by [7, Proposition 2.1], since $\gamma_s \gamma_p \in \omega_s \mathcal{D}_n \omega_p \gamma_p$, by (20). Applying [7, Corollary 2.4] and Proposition 10.1 thus yields

$$\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-1)} \Lambda^p = (\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-2)} \Lambda^r) \omega_r \gamma_p,$$

where $r = (k+1).1^{(n-k-1)}$. The same argument, applied to r instead of p , gives

$$\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-2)} \Lambda^r = (\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-3)} \Lambda^s) \omega_s \gamma_r,$$

where $s = (k+2).1^{(n-k-2)}$. Furthermore, $\omega_s \omega_r \gamma_p \in \ker c \cap \Lambda^p = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p$, by Theorem 6.1, (30) and Corollary 10.3. Putting together all pieces,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2j-1)} \Lambda^p &= (\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(2(j-1)-1)} \Lambda^s) \omega_s \gamma_r \omega_r \gamma_p \\ &\subseteq (\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{(j-1)} \Lambda^s) \omega_s \omega_r \gamma_p \\ &\subseteq (\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{(j-1)} \Lambda^s) \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p \\ &\subseteq (\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{(j-1)} \mathcal{P}_n) \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p \\ &\subseteq \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{(j)} \Lambda^p, \end{aligned}$$

by (20) and induction. □

10.10 Theorem. *The nil-potency index of $\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n$ is $\frac{n-1}{2}$, if n is odd, and $\frac{n}{2}$, if n is even.*

Proof. If $n = 2$, then $\mathcal{P}_n = \Lambda^{1,1}$ and $\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n = 0$. Let $n \geq 3$. As a consequence of [7, Corollary 2.6],

$$\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(n-1)} \Lambda^p = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(n-2)} \Lambda^p = 0 \text{ for all } p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n,$$

since the only partition of n of length $n - 1$ is $q = 2, 1^{(n-2)}$, and q is not odd. Corollary 10.8 therefore implies that the nil-potency index of

$$\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n = \bigoplus_{p \vdash_{\text{odd}} n} \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n} \Lambda^p,$$

is less or equal $n/2$. We mention that this part of the claim can also be deduced directly from (20), (27) and the description of $\text{Rad } \mathcal{P}_n$ given in Corollary 10.3.

Conversely, consider $p = 3, 1^{(n-3)} \vdash_{\text{odd}} n$, then $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{(n-3)} \Lambda^p \neq 0$, by [7, Corollary 2.6] again. Applying Lemma 10.9 yields $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{(n/2-1)} \Lambda^p \neq 0$, if n is even, and $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{P}_n}^{((n-3)/2)} \Lambda^p \neq 0$, if n is odd, which completes the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] M. Aguiar, N. Bergeron, and K. Nyman. A peak subalgebra of the symmetric group algebra. Talk held at the AMS sectional meeting in Montréal, May 2002.
- [2] M. D. Atkinson. Solomon's descent algebra revisited. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 24:545–551, 1992.
- [3] T. Bauer. Über die Struktur der Solomonalgebra. *Bayreuth. Math. Schr.*, 63:1–102, 2001.
- [4] F. Bergeron, N. Bergeron, and A. Garsia. Idempotents for the free Lie algebra and q -enumeration. In *Invariant theory and tableaux*, volume 19 of *IMA volumes in Math. Appl.*, pages 166–190. D. Stanton (Ed.), Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990.
- [5] N. Bergeron, S. Mykytiuk, F. Sottile, and S. van Willigenburg. Shifted quasisymmetric functions and the Hopf algebra of peak functions. *Discrete Math.*, 246:57–66, 2002.
- [6] L. J. Billera, S. K. Hsiao, and S. van Willigenburg. Peak Quasisymmetric Functions and Eulerian Enumeration. Preprint.

- [7] D. Blessenohl and H. Laue. On the descending Loewy series of Solomon's descent algebra. *J. Algebra*, 180:698–724, 1996.
- [8] D. Blessenohl and H. Laue. The module structure of Solomon's descent algebra. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 72:317–333, 2002.
- [9] D. Blessenohl and H. Laue. Algebraic combinatorics related to the free Lie algebra. In *Publ. I.R.M.A Strasbourg*, Actes 29^e Séminaire Lotharingien, pages 1–21, 1993.
- [10] P. Cellini. A general commutative descent algebra. *J. Algebra*, 175:990–1014, 1995.
- [11] P. Doyle and D. Rockmore. Ruffles, ruffles, and the turning algebra. Preprint.
- [12] E. B. Dynkin. Calculation of the coefficients of the Campbell–Hausdorff formula. *Docl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.)*, 57:323–326, 1947.
- [13] H. O. Foulkes. Enumeration of permutations with prescribed up-down and inversion sequences. *Discrete Math.*, 15:235–252, 1976.
- [14] A. M. Garsia. Combinatorics of the free Lie algebra and the symmetric group. In *Analysis, et cetera*, pages 309–382. P.H. Rabinowitz, E.Zehnder (Eds.), New York, London, 1989.
- [15] A. M. Garsia and C. Reutenauer. A decomposition of Solomon's descent algebra. *Adv. in Math.*, 77:189–262, 1989.
- [16] I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. Retakh, and J.-Y. Thibon. Noncommutative symmetric functions. *Adv. in Math.*, 112(2):218–348, 1995.
- [17] I. M. Gessel. Multipartite P-Partitions and Inner Products of Skew Schur Functions. *Contemp. Math.*, 34:289–301, 1984.
- [18] I. M. Gessel and C. Reutenauer. Counting permutations with given descent set and cycle structure. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 64(1):189–215, 1993.
- [19] A. Jöllenbeck. Nichtkommutative Charaktertheorie der symmetrischen Gruppen. *Bayreuth. Math. Schr.*, 56:1–41, 1999.

- [20] A. Jöllenbeck and C. Reutenauer. Eine Symmetrieeigenschaft von Solomons Algebra und der höheren Lie-Charaktere. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 71:105–111, 2001.
- [21] A. A. Klyachko. Lie elements in the tensor algebra. *Siberian Math. J.*, 15:914–920, 1974.
- [22] B. Leclerc, T. Scharf, and J.-Y. Thibon. Noncommutative cyclic characters of symmetric groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 75(1):55–69, 1996.
- [23] J.-L. Loday and M. O. Ronco. Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees. *Adv. in Math.*, 139:293–309, 1998.
- [24] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd ed. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [25] C. Malvenuto and C. Reutenauer. Duality between Quasi-Symmetric Functions and the Solomon Descent Algebra. *J. Algebra*, 177:967–982, 1995.
- [26] K. L. Nyman. The peak algebra of the symmetric group. Preprint.
- [27] F. Patras and C. Reutenauer. Lie representations and an algebra containing Solomon’s. to appear in *J. Algebraic Combinatorics*.
- [28] F. Patras and C. Reutenauer. Higher Lie Idempotents. *J. Algebra*, 222:51–64, 1999.
- [29] C. Reutenauer. Theorem of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt, logarithm and representations of the symmetric group whose order are the Stirling numbers. In *Combinatoire Énumérative*, G. Labelle and P. Leroux (Eds.), Montréal, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 267–284. Springer, 1985.
- [30] C. Reutenauer. *Free Lie Algebras*, volume 7 of *London Mathematical Society monographs, new series*. Oxford University Press, 1993.
- [31] C. Schensted. Longest Increasing and Decreasing Subsequences. *Canad. J. Math.*, 13:179–191, 1961.
- [32] M. P. Schützenberger. Quelques remarques sur une construction de Schensted. *Math. Scand.*, 12:117–128, 1963.

- [33] M. Schocker. Idempotents for Derangement Numbers. to appear in *Disc. Math.*
- [34] M. Schocker. Lie Idempotent Algebras. to appear in *Adv. in Math.*
- [35] L. Solomon. On the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 4:363–375, 1968.
- [36] L. Solomon. A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group. *J. Algebra*, 41:255–268, 1976.
- [37] W. Specht. Die linearen Beziehungen zwischen höheren Kommutatoren. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 51:367–376, 1948.
- [38] J. R. Stembridge. Enriched P -partitions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(2):763–788, 1997.
- [39] S. van Willigenburg. A proof of Solomon’s rule. *J. Algebra*, 206:693–698, 1998.
- [40] F. Wever. Über Invarianten in Lieschen Ringen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 120:563–580, 1949.
- [41] E. Witt. Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 177:152–160, 1937.